Germantown Cab Co.

800 Chestnut St. Ste. 103 Philadelphia, PA 19107 P: (215) 733-0461 F: (215) 733-0464

September 23, 2011

Silvan B. Lutkewitte, III Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 TELL SED OF THE OF THE OFFICE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OFFICE

Dear Chairman Lutkewitte,

We are presenting this transcript of a hearing held before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission on October 14, 1994. This transcript contains testimony in reference to "the applicability of 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404" (pg. 4, 5) to non-medallion "neighborhood" cabs. The issue of applicability was raised because "portions of the City of Philadelphia are here involved and since medallions and P numbers have not been assigned" (5).

In the testimony presented by three representatives of the PUC you will find information pertaining to the history of Germantown Cab Company (formerly Penn Cab) and how that history relates to our value to the neighborhood we serve. There is also information on PUC policy regarding assessments, exemptions, inspections, and shield policy as they relate to nonmedallion or "neighborhood" cabs operating under the Medallion Act.

Testimony in this transcript also pertains directly to the PPA's public comments concerning the definition of "partial-rights" cabs in the Final Order. On page 21 there is a specific use of the term "neighborhood cabs" to describe our operation. Throughout the document you will notice that the PUC never used the term "partial-rights." The terminology used throughout this document is contrary to the PPA's response in the Final Order that attempts to validate the term "partial-rights": "The term —partial-rights taxicab has evolved over the decades and is the term employed within the Philadelphia taxicab community."

Sincerely,

Foseph Gabbay, General Manager Germantown Cab Company

BEFORE

THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

In re:

A-00110733, Application of Penn Cab Company
Begin common carrier (transfer) - persons
between points in that part of Philadelphia
bounded by Washington Lane, Cheltenham Avenue,
etc.; which is to be a transfer of part of the
rights under the certificate issued at
A-00107245 to Philly Cab Company, subject to
the same limitations and conditions. Hearing.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania October 14, 1994

Pages 1 to 128, inclusive

HOLBERT ASSOCIATES
P.O. Box 6144
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17112-0144



BEFORE

THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

In re:

A-00110733, Application of Penn Cab Company
Begin common carrier (transfer) - persons
between points in that part of Philadelphia
bounded by Washington Lane, Cheltenham Avenue,
etc.; which is to be a transfer of part of the
rights under the certificate issued at
A-00107245 to Philly Cab Company, subject to
the same limitations and conditions. Hearing.

Stenographic report of hearing held at the Philadelphia State Office Building, Broad and Spring Garden Streets, 13th Floor Hearing Room, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,

> Friday, October 14, 1994 at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE

CYNTHIA A. WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

JANET M. SLOAN, ESQ.
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102
Appearing on behalf of PA PUC Law Bureau

RHONDA L. DAVISTON, ESQ.
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102
Appearing on behalf of PA PUC Law Bureau

RICHARD M. MELTZER, ESQ. 1735 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19003 Appearing on behalf of Penn Cab Company

INDEX TO WITNESSES

Law Bureau:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Barry Ernst	23	34		
Sant Harrison	45	52	65	67
Applicant:				
Earl Huling	68	80	8 4	
Evelyn Whiting	87	88		
William Jackson	89		•	
Bazil Malone	90	90		
Donald Marshall	91	91		
Joan Shumaker	92	93		
Jacob Gabbay	96	99	105	
Paul Kenney, Sr.	110			
Menachen Reibenbach	123	125	125	

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>No</u> .	<u> Identified</u>	Admitted
App. 1	122	122

-

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE WILLIAMS: Good morning.

I'm Administrative Law Judge Cynthia A. Williams, and
this is the time and date set for hearing the

Application of Penn Cab Company at Docket A-00110733.

I note for the record the appearances of Janet M. Sloan for the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Law Bureau, Rhonda L. Daviston for the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Law Bureau and Richard M. Meltzer for the Applicant, Penn Cab Company.

I would note that this application was filed on March 8, 1993 and the unopposed application was certified to the Commission. In an order from the Commission entered on September 28, 1993 it indicated that the Pennsylvania Utility Code at 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404 requires that a vehicle may not be operated as a taxicab in the cities of the first class unless a certificate of public convenience is issued authorizing the operation of the taxicab and a medallion is attached to the hood of the vehicle.

Section 2404(c) further provides that a vehicle authorized by a certificate to provide call or demand service in the cities of the first class, may transport persons and their baggage upon call or demand; and parcels, packages and property at the same basic metered rate charged to passengers, between points in the city

of the first class for which a certificate is issued; from any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued to any point in the Commonwealth; from any point in the Commonwealth to any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued if the request for service for such transportation is received by call to its radio dispatch service; and from any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued to any point outside the Commonwealth as a continuous part of a trip.

Since portions of the City of Philadelphia are here involved and since medallions and P numbers have not been assigned, a question arises as to the applicability of 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404.

Therefore, the Commission assigned this case to the Office of Administrative Law Judge for a public hearing to determine whether or not the authority involved should be cancelled as not consistent with the medallion legislation and the rules and regulations of the Commission thereof.

A hearing was set in this matter for May 18, 1994. Since the parties indicated that they were working on a settlement, that hearing was cancelled.

I subsequently received a settlement, reviewed

Germantown Cab Co.

800 Chestnut St. Ste. 103 Philadelphia, PA 19107 P: (215) 733-0461 F: (215) 733-0464

September 23, 2011

Silvan B. Lutkewitte, III Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Chairman Lutkewitte,

We are presenting this transcript of a hearing held before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission on October 14, 1994. This transcript contains testimony in reference to "the applicability of 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404" (pg. 4, 5) to non-medallion "neighborhood" cabs. The issue of applicability was raised because "portions of the City of Philadelphia are here involved and since medallions and P numbers have not been assigned" (5).

In the testimony presented by three representatives of the PUC you will find information pertaining to the history of Germantown Cab Company (formerly Penn Cab) and how that history relates to our value to the neighborhood we serve. There is also information on PUC policy regarding assessments, exemptions, inspections, and shield policy as they relate to nonmedallion or "neighborhood" cabs operating under the Medallion Act.

Testimony in this transcript also pertains directly to the PPA's public comments concerning the definition of "partial-rights" cabs in the Final Order. On page 21 there is a specific use of the term "neighborhood cabs" to describe our operation. Throughout the document you will notice that the PUC never used the term "partial-rights." The terminology used throughout this document is contrary to the PPA's response in the Final Order that attempts to validate the term "partial-rights": "The term —partial-rights taxicab has evolved over the decades and is the term employed within the Philadelphia taxicab community."

Sincerely,

Joseph Gabbay, General Manager Germantown Cab Company

BEFORE

THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

In re:

A-00110733, Application of Penn Cab Company
Begin common carrier (transfer) - persons
between points in that part of Philadelphia
bounded by Washington Lane, Cheltenham Avenue,
etc.; which is to be a transfer of part of the
rights under the certificate issued at
A-00107245 to Philly Cab Company, subject to
the same limitations and conditions. Hearing.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania October 14, 1994

Pages 1 to 128, inclusive

HOLBERT ASSOCIATES
P.O. Box 6144
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17112-0144



BEFORE

THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

In re:

A-00110733, Application of Penn Cab Company
Begin common carrier (transfer) - persons
between points in that part of Philadelphia
bounded by Washington Lane, Cheltenham Avenue,
etc.; which is to be a transfer of part of the
rights under the certificate issued at
A-00107245 to Philly Cab Company, subject to
the same limitations and conditions. Hearing.

Stenographic report of hearing held at the Philadelphia State Office Building, Broad and Spring Garden Streets, 13th Floor Hearing Room, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,

> Friday, October 14, 1994 at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE

CYNTHIA A. WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

JANET M. SLOAN, ESQ.
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102
Appearing on behalf of PA PUC Law Bureau

RHONDA L. DAVISTON, ESQ.
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102
Appearing on behalf of PA PUC Law Bureau

RICHARD M. MELTZER, ESQ. 1735 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19003 Appearing on behalf of Penn Cab Company

INDEX TO WITNESSES

Law Bureau:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Barry Ernst	23	34		
Sant Harrison	45	52	65	67
Applicant:				
Earl Huling	68	80	8 4	
Evelyn Whiting	87	88		
William Jackson	89			
Bazil Malone	90	90		
Donald Marshall	91	91		
Joan Shumaker	92	93		
Jacob Gabbay	96	99	105	
Paul Kenney, Sr.	110			
Menachen Reibenbach	123	125	125	

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

No.	<u>Identified</u>	<u>Admitted</u>
App. 1	122	122

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE WILLIAMS: Good morning.

I'm Administrative Law Judge Cynthia A. Williams, and
this is the time and date set for hearing the

Application of Penn Cab Company at Docket A-00110733.

I note for the record the appearances of Janet M. Sloan for the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Law Bureau, Rhonda L. Daviston for the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Law Bureau and Richard M. Meltzer for the Applicant, Penn Cab Company.

I would note that this application was filed on March 8, 1993 and the unopposed application was certified to the Commission. In an order from the Commission entered on September 28, 1993 it indicated that the Pennsylvania Utility Code at 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404 requires that a vehicle may not be operated as a taxicab in the cities of the first class unless a certificate of public convenience is issued authorizing the operation of the taxicab and a medallion is attached to the hood of the vehicle.

Section 2404(c) further provides that a vehicle authorized by a certificate to provide call or demand service in the cities of the first class, may transport persons and their baggage upon call or demand; and parcels, packages and property at the same basic metered rate charged to passengers, between points in the city

of the first class for which a certificate is issued; from any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued to any point in the Commonwealth; from any point in the Commonwealth to any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued if the request for service for such transportation is received by call to its radio dispatch service; and from any point in the city of the first class for which a certificate is issued to any point outside the Commonwealth as a continuous part of a trip.

Since portions of the City of Philadelphia are here involved and since medallions and P numbers have not been assigned, a question arises as to the applicability of 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2404.

Therefore, the Commission assigned this case to the Office of Administrative Law Judge for a public hearing to determine whether or not the authority involved should be cancelled as not consistent with the medallion legislation and the rules and regulations of the Commission thereof.

A hearing was set in this matter for May 18, 1994. Since the parties indicated that they were working on a settlement, that hearing was cancelled.

I subsequently received a settlement, reviewed

it, and in my order dated August 8, 1994 did not approve the settlement. I indicated that although 66 Pa. C.S. Section 2402 refers to city-wide authority, the provisions of the Medallion Act have not been interpreted to allow a taxicab to operate in Philadelphia without a medallion. Furthermore, the service is not merely a neighborhood service since the service area is not limited to the Germantown section of the city.

I indicated that this case could set a precedent for having certificated taxicabs without medallions and P numbers that only operate in certain sections of Philadelphia. Therefore, it would be consistent with the public interest to have a hearing in this matter to elicit information concerning underserved areas, whether Germantown is economically depressed and whether certain taxicabs should be exempt from the Medallion Act.

Now, I understand there are some prehearing matters to be discussed before we take testimony.

Which party would like to go first?

MR. MELTZER: Why don't you go first. You're more prepared than I am.

MS. SLOAN: With that dubious distinction, Your Honor, Mr. Meltzer and I and Rhonda have had numerous conversations regarding this matter, and I think at the

prehearing what we'd like to do is explain our thinking to you, tell you what witnesses we have available for today, and make sure that we're eliciting the kind of record that you wish, and possibly see if we can resubmit our settlement in light of the sort of record that we wish to develop today.

We've had numerous discussions about the options available to Penn Cab Company in order to satisfy this application to transfer that section of their authority, which we have termed neighborhood authority. That's an old term of art that has been left over for somewhat close to ions, but it applies to this particular cab company authority. It's the only one that exists at this time.

I understand and I will present testimony from Mr. Barry Ernst, who is the Director of the Bureau of Transportation for the Public Utility Commission.

Mr. Ernst has informed me that at one time every cab company in Philadelphia was a neighborhood cab company involving radio stands and other rather dinosaur-like qualities. Every other cab company that had this authority has gone defunct. Penn Cab Company is the last of its kind.

What has happened is even though it's the last of its kind, it applied in 1973, according to the records

that I have, for certificates. Now the certificates predated the medallion hearings in Philadelphia. This is where the Commission decided that it was in its interest, instead of having a certificate of public convenience that allowed unlimited authority and unlimited cabs under one certificate, but each certificate would correspond to a cab. That obviously predated the medallion, which is one medallion, one cab.

In 1979 -- again, Mr. Ernst is going to provide this. This is more of an offer of proof. Mr. Ernst will tell us that when the Commission came to Germantown, the Commission said: You can have some medallions in order to provide citywide transportation of passengers, but you have to maintain the neighborhood authority. That was a Commission order, and the reason for that was based on an investigation, and he will testify that investigation by the Commission was Docketed ID171. It determines that that was a valuable service, and that the Commission could not, in good conscience, allow that authority to go by the wayside.

So consequently, when they applied for a certificate, they were allowed some certificates to provide citywide authority, but that was done with the condition that they must continue the neighborhood authority, and that will be the testimony of Mr. Ernst

G

as to the origins of this neighborhood authority and how it still continues today.

We will also have Mr. Ernst testify about another unusual group of cabs, which we in the Commission term suburban cabs. Suburban cabs are cab companies that have their main authority outside the perimeters of Philadelphia; most often outside the counties of Philadelphia, Montgomery County, Bucks County, neighboring adjacent counties, but their main authority is outside Philadelphia. However, a number of these have authority that crosses over the boundaries of Philadelphia and allows them to provide service within the City of Philadelphia, but a small section.

Mr. Ernst will testify as to how many of those still exist and the fact that they also do not provide citywide authority, and that the Commission has found that they do not need medallions. So that although this is an unusual case, because this is the only cab company that still exists that has non-citywide authority, there are precedents involving authority in Philadelphia that are not medallion, and the Commission has found that those need not be medallion.

The only thing that I could find is a decision that the Commission adopted in Genco Services, Inc. trading as Cheldon Radio Cab Company. The Docket is

A-00106517C9102.

We will also present the Director of the Bureau of Safety and Compliance here in Philadelphia. Mr. Harrison will testify about his offices dealings with Philadelphia Cab, the number of complaints they receive, from whom they received complaints, the type of complaints they receive.

Mr. Harrison has told us that it's a valuable neighborhood service; that the majority of the residence in Germantown enjoy the service; that it is really an underserved community. He will testify as to why that is.

He has personally told us that the reason it's so underserved is because no one can find a way in nor out of Germantown, and because it is what he calls a transitional neighborhood, which means there are sections that are affluent followed by sections that are certainly economically depressed. But the biggest upshot of the problem is no one else knows Germantown unless you live in Germantown. So he will testify that he has had a number of people inform him that the neighborhood is underserved without this particular service, without Penn Cab, that the other medallion cabs tend to stay away from the area.

Mr. Harrison will also go into some

characterizations of Penn Cab and its service -- and Mr. Harrison's here.

MR. HARRISON: Sorry, Your Honor, I'm late.

MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, with that, the Law Bureau has no other witnesses nor testimony.

I believe Mr. Meltzer has other witnesses and testimony, and he can obviously offer the proof of those, but the upshot of the discussions that we've been having, what happens to the authority if the settlement doesn't go through, if we don't allow the transfer.

We have what I guess are the only two other options, and that is to convert this particular authority and the cabs that are now operated under the neighborhood authority into medallion cabs, and there are several problems inherent in that option, and I think a number of the problems have been addressed in the case that I cited earlier, the Cheldon' case. We do have a cap on the number of cabs that are available.

Mr. Ernst will also give us the numbers, how many are now operating and what is left over to be handed out.

We have got the problem that arose during the investigation in the future need for taxicab service which was held before Judge Kranzel. Judge Kranzel has entered an initial decision. In that initial decision Judge Kranzel recommended that the number be capped of

1 2

what now exists; there is no need for further, but he also recommended that a certain group of people be allowed the medallions. These are people who attempted to purchase medallions from Metro Transportation through an installment program.

There is no number available as to how many entities exist under that subclass that Judge Kranzel suggests get medallions, so we may be running into a problem giving medallions to specific cabs and to the ones recommended by Judge Kranzel and still maintaining the cap that exists.

The other option is cancelling the authority, and the Law Bureau is unwilling to advocate that position given the testimony of the two directors of the pertinent Commission bureaus.

We find that it is a valuable authority that we will have underserviced area if we would in fact cancel this authority, and we just don't think that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Philadelphia, in particular for the citizens of this neighborhood to cancel the authority. So we are in not in any way going to advocate that position.

Consequently, we would like to possibly resubmit the settlement agreement reached between the parties that would allow the transfer of authority between Penn

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Cab and Philadelphia Cab, so that the authority could continue in a manner in which it is now operated.

We may, after you listen to our various offers of proof, decide that there should be some of the stipulation of facts that would be reworded, but we would possibly after discussing this with you, reoffer that settlement.

Rich, is there anything that --

MR. MELTZER: I have a few things.

Your Honor, as the Law Bureau has suggested, there are various alternatives; heads, tails and sort of the edge of the coin. We, as the company, would have no problem with being a medallion cab, and I believe that through cross-examination we could certainly try to bring out that this would not be an instance where new medallions are issued, but clarifying what perhaps should have been done when the Medallion Law came into effect, and somehow this one authority, or group of authorities, slipped through the cracks until the issue was raised by the Commission. And if the Your Honor found that for a variety of reasons that we should be medallion cabs, we certainly would pay our medallion fee each year to the Commission.

We would certainly be willing to operate a certain number of cabs in the Germantown area, because

5

7

1

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

whether or not we had citywide authority, we are the only cab company operating in that area and that's where we make the most of our volume of business from, even if we had citywide authority. So we certainly would not want to risk losing our authority. I think there are constitutional prohibitions about that, because we had authority way before the Medallion Law was passed. don't think it would be fair or just to take the position, and I'm not saying that the Law Bureau is taking that position, to revoke authority completely, especially when the public interest would be so harmed in lieu of giving us a medallion.

There are, and we could either through cross-examination or the testimony of Paul Kenney, bring out that there is at least one other cab company which has very similar authority to us. While they do not compete with us in Germantown, they do have the authority to operate in that area. They just choose not They have no regulations whatsoever that we have been negotiating with in the Commission. In other words, again we're sort of on the edge. We've agreed because we certainly don't want to run the risk of losing any authority, we have tentatively agreed, although Your Honor rejected the proposal, to comply with certain aspects of the Medallion Law.

1 2

•

It seems to me that if we are not a medallion cab, we shouldn't have any regulation. If it's in the interest of everyone to have some type of regulations such as required under the Medallion Law, we certainly would comply with all aspects of the Medallion Law.

I don't think, as Your Honor pointed out in her decision, which I would disagree with, we can't be required to have partitions, we can't be required to have the same requirements as a medallion cab regarding the age of the cab and yet not enjoy whatever benefits a medallion cab has. That's why I said we're on the edge.

We certainly for legal and public interest reasons shouldn't have our authority revoked. We're willing to be a medallion cab. On the other hand, the reason for the application is basically to sort of segregate the medallion aspects that attach to Penn Cab that Mr. Ernst would testify about and the so-called neighborhood rights. We certainly could discuss through testimony or whatever the amount of cabs that would be capped under a medallion situation.

We certainly wouldn't expect to have an unlimited number, but as I said, I don't believe that we would be going against the intent of the Commission to cap -- or the hearing in front of Judge Kranzel, to cap the number of medallion cabs at a certain number of those which

exist today, because these aren't really new medallions.

In reality we're operating approximately 40 cabs at this time. We have the right to go into the city to pick somebody else up. We certainly have a right to drop somebody off in the city, and we are operating in our rather wide area which is a zone and I believe that zones were established, today known as A, B and C zones, although perhaps the Commission doesn't strictly enforce those zones, we are under Zone C.

But we will present testimony of several drivers who operate in Germantown. They would discuss certainly the type of ridership that we maintain, the number of wires that we have, the service that we give, the fact that we are the only cab company that operates in Germantown, the reasons why other cab companies don't operate in our territory, the difficulty of driving in Germantown to pick up passengers, to drop passengers off, the difficulty of locating specific streets.

Germantown is rather a unique area in the City of Philadelphia, as I understand it, and unless you have a great deal of familiarity with Germantown, no one would be able to operate in an efficient manner.

They will talk about the type of passengers that they pick up, and basically the fact that they all live in Germantown and derive a degree of income from

-

operating the cabs for the company.

In addition, we would talk about the type of service that we render to employees in the area who we pick up at night, because the cabs operate on a 24-hour a day basis, and I believe that that would cover many of the issues that Your Honor raised in your opinion regarding the service that is rendered.

We would also discuss why we don't believe any of the requirements or many of the requirements that were omitted from the proposed stipulation should be in fact enacted. As I said, my opinion is that if we are a medallion cab, we should enjoy all the benefits and disadvantages and the financial obligations of being a medallion cab. If we are not a medallion cab, I don't believe that we should be required to have all of the obligations of being a medallion cab or many of the obligations of being a medallion cab, such as certificated drivers and certain types of cars, and yet not enjoy whatever benefits exist.

I think both sides are in agreement that the public interest, as well as the constitutional protection of the cab company, do not suggest that the authority should be eliminated all together. That, I think would be met with a great deal of protest.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, let me explain the position of the Commission Bureau. At this point, we advocate that the neighborhood authority be transferred as it exists with the provisos that we made in our settlement.

The reason that we are somewhat resistant to the option of allowing Penn Cab to become a completely medallion cab company, is our concern that that would lead to the underservice of the Germantown area; that if they have to pay all the prices involved with the medallion program, that the short hauls within the perimeter of Germantown may not be sufficient economically, and we will find that as the years and months go by that Germantown will become less and less of their center, and we would find the medallion cabs, as is their right, would be traveling throughout the City of Philadelphia.

The Bureaus of Transportation and Safety and Compliance both have raised those particular concerns, and consequently that is not the option that the Law Bureau will advocate. We think that we should transfer this authority so that the service in Germantown neighborhood continues.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: I know this will come out in the testimony, but how many cabs are we talking about at

this point?

I know you mentioned 40.

MS. SLOAN: 42.

MR. MELTZER: I think we have 42 cabs that do not have the medallion authority that are operating in Germantown. That is an open-ended, but right now it's 42. It has been lower. It has been higher.

MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, you do realize that their certificate allows them unlimited number, so that number is subject to some fluctuation.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Just in looking at the application, it looked like it was one cab to me, so that's why I asked.

MR. MELTZER: It's one P number or one authority, one certificate, but it's unlimited in the sense that we could have as many cabs as we feel necessary to operate in that territory.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: The only thing I was looking at is under the application -- excuse me. I don't know what number it is. I have a Schedule C, and right after Schedule C I have equipment, and the first paragraph says: Applicant intends to purchase a four-door sedan. That's why I thought it was one vehicle we were talking about.

MR. MELTZER: Your Honor, the issue as I

understood here is whether a nonmedallion cab should be a medallion cab.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: The only reason I brought that up is we were talking about whether the transfer to medallion cab, so it's a difference between transferring one cab or an unlimited number.

MR. MELTZER: And certainly if there is a transfer of medallion cabs, I would believe that every cab that we have operating or whatever specific number may come out subject to any limitation would each have to have a medallion on it.

So in other words, for the sake of argument, if we had 50 cabs operating in Germantown, Your Honor might find that 50 cabs and that's it might have to be medallion and you can't increase the number without doing something or the number just can't be increased.

We are not seeking or even proposing an unlimited number of taxicabs. I just don't think that the Commission would go for it. It may be that if the need arose to operate in Germantown, some type of authority for that being a nonmedallion could exist, but as I said there is some other cab company that does not operate but has that authority.

The reason for the application itself was really just to pull out from the company the medallions versus

İ

the nonmedallion cabs. It wasn't anything for that.

We believe from a corporate standpoint and that from an insurance standpoint that it would be beneficial to have Philly Cab, which we would call suburban or the nonmedallion cab versus the other authority that we have, which are 30 separate medallion cabs, and that was really the reason for the authority for the application to segregate those two companies.

We do have medallion cabs now that operate principally in Germantown, even though they do have the citywide rights, but they operate if there aren't enough cabs, they may choose to operate in the Germantown area supplement to service being rendered to the suburban cabs, neighborhood cabs.

MS. SLOAN: We call it the neighborhood cabs.

MR. MELTZER: -- to supplement the neighborhood cabs.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: I believe based on what both counsel has indicated, that the record would be sufficient for me to make a decision based on what you just said. I can't think of any other evidence that I would need other than what you have proposed to give.

MS. SLOAN: With that, Mr. Ernst is expecting a telephone call from all of us to go on the record.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Now, all of us can't fit in the

6 library. 1 MR. MELTZER: 3 attorneys. 5 there, too. 6 7 8 in here. 9 10 11 12 and Mr. Gabbay. 13 14 (Recess.) 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would think, Your Honor -- how much room is there? I guess we can just have the JUDGE WILLIAMS: I think your client should be We'll recess right here so we can go into the library and have Mr. Ernst' testimony and then come back MR. MELTZER: Can I have Mr. Kenney with me. He's familiar with the history of the cab company, so that might be helpful, so I would just want Mr. Kenney JUDGE WILLIAMS: This is Judge Williams. We have a court reporter in here. We have Ms. Sloan, Ms. Daviston, Mr. Meltzer and a number of other people, including Mr. Harrison and Mr. Kenney. MR. ERNST: You've got quite an audience. MS. SLOAN: Mr. Ernst, you know we are here about the Philadelphia Penn Cab application, and I have

several questions about that and ask that you dip back into your memory.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Before you start, let me swear you in.

BARRY ERNST, called as a witness, having been 1 2 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: JUDGE WILLIAMS: You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SLOAN: 5 Mr. Ernst, for the benefit of the court 6 0. 7 reporter, would you state your full name and spell it 8 for the record? 9 Barry, B-a-r-r-y, Ernst, E-r-n-s-t. 10 Ο. Mr. Ernst, by whom are you employed? Employed with the Pennsylvania Public 11 Utility Commission. 12 In what position are you employed by the 13 Q. Public Utility Commission? 14 15 I currently serve as its Director of its Bureau of Transportation. 16 Mr. Ernst, how long have you been Director 17 Q. of the Bureau of Transportation for the Public Utility 18 Commission? 19 20 Α. Since August of 1983. So for a little 21 over --22 Q. For a little over -- Mr. Ernst, we can't 23 hear. 24 I'm sorry. Can you hear me now? So you've been in the position since August 25 Q.

6

5	1	of 1983?
	2	A. That's correct.
	3	Q. So a little over 11 years?
	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q. What exactly do you do as Director of the
	6	Bureau of Transportation?
	7	A. Pertinent to this proceeding, I am in
	8	charge of administering the Commission's regulation of
	9	rates and insurance for taxicabs, in addition to other
•	10	things.
,	11	Q. So that it's in fact your department which
	12	would receive the application for transfer of authority?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. It would be your department that is
	15	responsible for the medallion system in Philadelphia?
	16	A. Or the entry portion of it, yes.
	17	Q. So you're the one who keeps track of what
	18	is going on in the numbers of Philadelphia cabs?
	19	A. That's correct.
	20	Q. Prior to becoming Director of the Bureau of
	21	Transportation, did you have previous positions with the
	22	Public Utility Commission?
	23	A. Prior to becoming Director, I served as
	24	Chief of the Entry Control Division of the Bureau of
	25	Transportation for approximately five years, and prior

to that I served as Chief of the Franchise Section of the Bureau of Transportation within the Entry Control Division and that was for a period of approximately two to three years. And prior to that beginning in 1972 I was a technical writer within the Franchise Section of the Bureau of Transportation, and in that capacity I prepared orders from hearings before the Commission had its Administrative Law Judge system. All the orders were prepared within the Franchise Section.

- Q. Mr. Ernst, at the risk of showing my mathematical ability or nonability, you've been with the Bureau of Transportation for approximately 22 years?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the authority that is in question, the authority that is now held by Philadelphia Cab?
- A. Yes, although I knew it better as Penn Radio Authority.
- Q. Mr. Ernst, tell us what you can about the origin of this authority?
- A. Going back to my original knowledge of taxicab authority in Philadelphia it existed at the time that I first became involved in Philadelphia' proceedings, I had the opportunity to assist in the preparation of an order back in the mid '70's. The ID

171 proceeding, and at that time had occasion to do significant historical research and into the origin taxicab of taxicab authority in Philadelphia.

- O. What is ID 171?
- A. ID 171 is an investigation docket which opened in 1973 into the adequacy of taxicab service of Philadelphia and its environments.
- Q. So pursuant to the Commission ordered investigation, you did research?
- A. That's correct. That research was done in the 1975 and 1976 -- portions of that proceeding where I was assigned to Judge Earl, at that time Hearing Examiner Earl, to prepare his final order of recommendation to the Commission.
- Q. What was that recommendation to the Commission?
- A. That recommendation to the Commission was not ultimately adopted in total by the Commission, but the order of Judge Earl was adopted with regard to the historical development of taxicabs in Philadelphia, and up until the time Judge Earl had found that there was adequacy -- taxicab service in Philadelphia was adequate in 1976 and no additional taxicab companies should be certificated.
 - Q. In the final Commission' order those

findings were rejected?

- A. The judge was reversed with regards to his finding of adequacy.
- Q. So the Commission determined that there was some inadequacy of service for Philadelphia taxicab service?
- A. That's correct in 1976, and directed the staff at that time to develop a plan to increase the number of taxicabs in Philadelphia.
- Q. Getting back to Penn Radio Cab which is now Philadelphia Cab, what does ID 171 have to do with this cab?
- A. Penn Radio Cab at that time had filed an application for citywide authority and was one of the applications which was consolidated into the ID 171 investigation. There were over a hundred different applications validated into that proceeding.
- Q. What was the Commission's ultimate determination about Penn Radio Cab?
- A. Ultimately there was an order issued by the Commission following the ID 171 commission -- I'm sorry. The ID 171 decision, which found a need for additional authority.

The staff was directed to implement the additional taxicabs, and we took the pending

Λ

applications that we had before us that were being held in obeyance in ID 171 and began to make recommendations to the Commission with regard to additional taxicab certificates. Penn Radio was one of those applicants.

- Q. What did the Commission do about Penn Radio Cab's request for certificates?
- A. The Commission in 1979 granted 30 citywide taxicab certificates to Penn Radio on several conditions.
- Q. Can you tell us those conditions, Mr. Ernst?
- A. One condition being that they would be radio dispatched at that time, and prior to ID 171 only Yellow Cabs were radio dispatched citywide. They require that those 30 cabs be radio dispatched and they also issued, specifically issued, those 30 cabs in addition to the cabs that were being operated within the Germantown area served by Penn Radio at the time, and they also specifically stated in that order that the service within the Germantown area should not be diminished.
- Q. So that your recollection is that the Commission ordered the neighborhood authority in Germantown to continue?
 - A. Specifically.

1	

Q. And that was in lieu of providing Penn
Radio Cab with citywide authority without restriction?

A. Correct. I don't know whether I used the term in lieu of. I think I used the term in addition. Two distinct types of services, and it actually required at the time or we had recommended and the Commission adopted that the cabs be specifically identified as those citywide cabs. The Commission at that time intended or at least we intended our recommendation to the Commission there be two distinct services.

- Q. So since 1979, Penn Radio Cab or Philly Cab has operated medallion, with the advent of the medallion cab, and the neighborhood authority; is that correct?
- A. To my knowledge. I assume it's been continuous.
- Q. Mr. Ernst, I'd like to ask some nuts and bolts questions about some figures.

Can you tell us right now how many cabs are registered with the Commission's Bureau of Transportation to Philly Cab?

- A. There's two series of cabs. They are authorized a total presently of 36 taxicabs in the medallion program of citywide taxicabs.
- Q. And do you have the number that are presently registered in the neighborhood service?

- _

- A. They have voluntarily subjected these taxicabs to our inspection efforts in Philadelphia in the medallion program and have agreed to identify it so that we know specifically that they are operating 42 taxicabs at the present time in Germantown or have identified 42 taxicabs to be operated.
- Q. I asked you to find by looking through Commission' records, are there any other non-citywide authorities for taxicabs in Philadelphia? Specifically are there any other neighborhood authorities such as the one in Germantown?
- A. I don't know whether I'd describe them as neighborhood authority. In answering your question, yes we have identified two taxicab companies who hold authority, unlimited authority, within Philadelphia. However, these two differ from Penn Radio in that they are substantial suburban operations.
- Q. Let me just make a distinction that may not in fact be a real distinction, but there are no neighborhood services. There are two suburban services that are nonmedallion and working within the boundaries of Philadelphia?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Just for clarification, we'll give them those distinct names without reason. Let me ask you how

many medallions are presently allowed by the Commission in the City of Philadelphia?

- A. What we are authorized to do or how many we presently have operating?
 - Q. What we are authorized to do.
- A. The Commission is authorized to have a total of 1,600 medallion cabs within the City of Philadelphia.
 - Q. How many are currently working medallions?
- A. That's a figure which fluctuates. However, when I checked that figure yesterday, we presently have 1,444. That fluctuates because of the Commission's cancellations and reinstatements.
- Q. We have approximately 156 as of yesterday that would be available without going over the cap allowed by the Commission?
- A. That's correct. Although I don't think the Commission has ever been at that cap. We are authorized, yes. If the mathematics are correct, as of yesterday we could issue an additional 156 medallions in Philadelphia.
- Q. I'm going to draw upon your background,
 your 22 years in the Bureau of Transportation for the
 Commission, and ask you what you personally think would
 happen if the citywide medallions were issued to Philly

Cab for those 42 neighborhood cabs they are operating.

MR. MELTZER: I would object. I don't know if he's qualified, Your Honor, to say what would happen, how this company would operate should medallions be issued to the cab company.

MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, this man has spent 22 years in the Bureau of Transportation. He has extensive knowledge of what has happened throughout the history, pre-certificate, pre-medallion, medallion. I think he has every experience and personal knowledge that would allow him to answer a hypothetical question.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you rephrase it in terms of the Commission' activity versus the company' activity.

BY MS. SLOAN:

- Q. Let me rephrase it in this way: Mr. Ernst, in the past, what is your experience and personal observation of service to small neighborhoods when a cab company receives citywide or medallion service authority?
- A. The experience is that the cabs tend to concentrate in the more lucrative areas of Center City and the airport. Traditionally we have had this concern and we address this concern in the Penn Radio' order.

 At least our Bureau's recommendation and the

legislature in our initial legislation addressed this by attempting to require a certain number of trips to originate in certain areas of the city.

Commission's determination of what to do and even the

We have always been very concerned that neighborhoods are not being adequately serviced, and cabs were congregating in Center City and at the airport.

Q. Mr. Ernst, you've just described a zone situation that was part of the legislation.

Can you tell us again in your experience what that zone ended up doing to the service?

A. The zone legislation was an attempt, albeit an unsuccessful attempt, to get cab service out of Center City, away from the airport and into the various neighborhoods of Philadelphia.

The original enabling legislation which was passed in the late '70's as a result of the ID 171 directed the Commission to establish zones within the city where a percentage of all trips would have to originate and terminate. Unfortunately, the members of the industry found ways to circumvent it, and it didn't work as we had envisioned.

Q. Another hypothetical. What in your experience would be the result if the Commission

for a medallion

25

1	A. That's correct. Through cancellations for
2	various reasons.
3	Q. That was my next question.
4	What would the reasons be for the drop? What
5	reasons exist for a cab to be cancelled, for a medallion
6	to be cancelled?
7	A. They are obviously for violations of
8	Commission' rules and regulations. However, in trying
9	to be more specific for you, probably not having
10	insurance filed with us, failure to file annual reports,
11	this type of thing.
12	Over the years, we have come down from 1,535 to
13	1,474.
14	
15	Q. Let me search your memory, as Janet did.
16	Do you recall when the 1,535 existed?
17	A. Again, don't hold me to that figure.
18	That's recollection from my head, but the peak period
L 9	would have been initially when we began to grant the
20	medallions immediately after the enactment of the
21	medallion program.
22	I must be honest with you. I'm not quite sure
23	whether the peak was at 1,535 was with the medallion
24	program or right before the medallion program when we

began to issue the additional certificates.

There's a couple things in there that cloud my memory, and really distort the number of cabs, and that is the Yellow Cab situation, the initial capping of their authority.

- Q. You also mentioned or discussed the zone legislation.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You said that has not been successful; is that correct?
- A. Only because members of the industry found ways to defeat it, and we quite frankly had a great deal of difficulty in enforcing those provisions.
- Q. Mr. Harrison is here. I think he could testify to that.

In regard to the area of Germantown or the actual territory of operation, call it the Germantown or the neighborhood authority exists for Philly Cab; do you know how many square miles that entails?

- A. I don't.
- Q. But you would agree it's not just the Germantown area. It does go broader than just Germantown.
- A. I'm not that familiar with the neighborhood descriptions of Philadelphia. I know roughly the area, and I have sat down and read the authority which is

bordered by various streets and things, which I am not familiar. I'd have to find them on a map, in all probability, probably an old map.

- Q. Would you agree that Germantown cab or let's call it the neighborhood cab in Germantown that we're discussing today would not continue to be in existence unless it operated successfully or the drivers and the owners were able to make money?
- A. I would assume that they are making money.

 I have not looked at the annual reports, but certainly they would not be operating that --

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you repeat the end of your last statement?

MR. ERNST: My ending of my last statement was that it is reasonable to assume that they would not be operating the number of cabs that they are operating in Germantown if it were not profitable to do so.

BY MR. MELTZER:

Q. You also discussed the ramifications if the authority were to be cancelled in Germantown.

Do you know if there are any other cab companies other than citywide cab companies that have the authority to operate in this area?

- A. The Germantown area?
- Q. Yes.

I've got the authorities. I could sit here and read

them to you and see if they do. I have just not had reason to look at that.

- Q. Would you agree, however, that none of the suburban cabs that do have some authority to operate in the City of Philadelphia are required to comply with any of the aspects of the Medallion Law?
- A. That's correct. They were specifically exempted, which was our recommendation at the Commission at the time the medallion program was operating. We looked at it. The medallion is applying only to those taxicabs with citywide operating authority.
- Q. And if it's determined that Philly Cab -- I guess maybe the consistent way we'll try to phrase it -- is not a medallion cab, than would it be your understanding that it would not be required to comply with any of the aspects of the Medallion Law?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And would it be your understanding that that not be consistent with the public interest?
- A. Again, the only major actor we have here is Penn Radio who has voluntarily -- and the big concern here is safety of equipment and drivers, and my understanding is that they have voluntarily brought those taxicabs into compliance.
 - Q. But they are not legally required to do so

10 as long as they are not required to be held to the same 1 standards as medallion cabs; is that correct? 2 3 Α. That's correct. Yes, sir. 4 0. Do you know how many medallion cabs Philly 5 currently operates as opposed to the number that are authorized? 6 7 I think you said there were 36 cabs. Is that how many cabs they currently have operating? 8 How many they are authorized to have. 9 Α. don't know their current operating figure. 10 In the Walsh Cab situation, do you know how 11 Ο. 12 many cabs Walsh Cab is authorized to operate? I don't have that information in front of 13 Α. 14 me. 15 How many they are authorized to operate? misunderstood that. They have an unlimited certificate. 16 17 ο. Do you know how many they are currently operating? 18 That's the figure I don't know. 19 Α. That's the figure I thought you didn't 20 Q. 21 have. MR. MELTZER: Those are all the questions that I 22 23 have. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Ernst, this is Judge 24 Williams, and I'm going to go back to the two suburban 25

authorities that you've mentioned. You've identified one, Walsh Cab in Jenkintown, and you've referred to the fact that you have the certificate in front of you.

Would you read that so I can get an idea what part of the city the cabs operate in?

MR. ERNST: Yes, Your Honor. Just bear with me.

I will read, if it's all right with you, merely

that portion which is applicable to Philadelphia.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: That's fine.

MR. MELTZER: Mr. Kenney has it also.

MR. ERNST: Transport as a common carrier by motor vehicle persons upon --

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you speak up so the court reporter can hear you?

MR. ERNST: To transport as a common carrier by motor vehicle persons upon call or demand between that part of Philadelphia bounded by the Philadelphia Montgomery County Line, and then paren after that, Northwestern Avenue, Schuykill River — these are the boundary lines. School Lane, Church Lane, Wister Street W-i-s-t-e-r, Stenton, S-t-e-n-t-o-n, Avenue, Vernon Road, Cheltenham Avenue, Cresatim, C-r-e-s-a-t-i-m, Street and Stenton Avenue and between points in the Townships of — again, we're getting into the suburban areas — Whitemarsh, Springfield, Montgomery Counties.

1

3

5

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you.

The other suburban authority, what is the name of that and what area does that transport people in?

MR. ERNST: The other one is in the Mainline area. It's Bennett Taxicab.

Your Honor, would you like me to read the specific --

> JUDGE WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. ERNST: To transport as a common carrier persons upon call or demand that portion of the City and County of Philadelphia bounded by City Line, Seventh Street, Columbia Avenue, Lebanon Avenue, Haverford Avenue, Lansdowne Avenue, and Cobbs Creek, and that portion of the Bureau of Narberth and the Township of Lower Merion, Montgomery County as bounded by Montgomery Avenue, Merion Road and Merion Avenue, City Line Avenue and Waynewood Road, and on City Line Avenue between 52nd Street and Haverford Road.

> JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Now, you've indicated that the Commission has had difficulty in ensuring that the taxicabs provide a sufficient service to the neighborhood.

MR. ERNST: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: If a taxicab company decided that they wanted to operate in one section of the city 11 1 2 apply for citywide service? 3 Your Honor. 5 6 7 Я 9 10 need within a specific neighborhood. 11 12 and jurisdiction. 13 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you. 14 Ms. Sloan, do you have any redirect? 15 MS. SLOAN: 16 No. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer? 17 MR. MELTZER: Yes. 18 19 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. MELTZER: 20

21

22

23

24

25

and they applied for authority, would they be given authority without a medallion or would they have to MR. ERNST: That's a very interesting question, We've never had it presented to us. At this point a taxicab company operating or applying to operate in the neighborhood, apparently would not be bound by the medallion legislation and the Commission could issue neighborhood taxicab authority based on a showing of I would imagine the Commission has that authority Are you aware of any policy adopted by the 0. Commission regarding issuing or I guess approving applications for authority to operate in one section of

- the city?
 - I'm not aware of any specific Α.

11 1 2 3 5 our basic entry test. 7 0. 9 10 served? 11 12 13 MR. MELTZER: Thank you. 14 MS. SLOAN: 15 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you. 16 (Recess.) 17 18 19 20 21

to Ms. Daviston.

22

23

24

Commission' policy with regard to that. Would one requirement, just in your experience, would one element be whether or not that portion of the city is currently underserved? It would have to out of necessity be an issue of just that, necessity and inadequacy. And certainly if Philly Cab did not operate in Germantown, that area in your opinion would not be I'm not so sure it would not be served, but in my opinion the level of service would be diminished. Thank you, Mr. Ernst. JUDGE WILLIAMS: We're back on the record now. We've finished the testimony of Mr. Ernst, which was telephonic testimony, and we're back in Hearing Room 1, and the decision has been made to let the Law Bureau continue its case, and then the applicant will put on its case. MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, I turn this portion over

MS. DAVISTON: Your Honor, I would like to call 25

1 Sant Harrison to the stand. 2 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you stand and raise your right hand, please. 3 SANT HARRISON, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you state your name and 6 your business address for the record, please? 7 MR. HARRISON: My name is Sant Harrison, S-a-n-t. 8 My business address is 3549 B Street, Philadelphia 9 10 19134. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you. 11 Ms. Daviston, you may proceed. 12 13 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** BY MS. DAVISTON: 14 Mr. Harrison, would you state who you are 15 employed by and your title, please? 16 I'm employed by the Public Utility 17 Α. 18 Commission in Philadelphia, and my title is Regional 19 Manager. 20 0. How long have you been in that position? 21 Α. Seven years. 22 0. What are the job duties of a Regional Manager? 23 We oversee and inspect the Philadelphia 24 Α. medallion taxicab, also conduct investigations and 25

handle complaints of taxicabs. 11 1 Do you also deal with driver certification? 0. 3 Α. That's correct. And, Mr. Harrison, what bureau do you work Q. with in the Commission? 5 Safety and Compliance. 6 Are you a city resident? 7 0. Yes, I am. Α. 8 How long have you been a city resident? 9 Q. 54 years. 10 Α. Are you familiar with the Germantown area, 11 ٥. 12 Mr. Harrison? 13 Α. I am. How have you come to be familiar with this 14 area? 15 I have lived in the Germantown area 16 Α. approximately 54 years. 17 In your experience how would you 18 0. characterize this area? 19 Α. The Germantown area in itself is unique. 20 The logistics of it is quite difficult for anyone to get 21 around if they are not familiar with the area. 22 Are you familiar with the economic 23 Q. condition of this area? 2.4 25 Α. Yes.

We already know that there are 42 cabs

Is your office responsible for the

24

25

0.

operating.

	Α.	we	nave	not	nad	many	con	nplaints	at	all	
against	Phil	ly C	ab Co	ompan	ıy.	The	comp	olaints	are	at a	
minimum	and '	they	are	most	ly v	with	the	drivers	not	knowi	ng

- Q. Do you receive any complaints from other Philadelphia cab companies or drivers, regarding this cab company?
 - A. Numerous.

the logistics of the area.

- Q. Numerous.
- A. Numerous complaints from other cab companies against Philly Cabs.
- Q. And would you describe the nature of those complaints?
- A. Mostly because they are not aware that Philly Cabs can go into Center City and pick people up and transport them back to the area. As soon as a cab company sees that Philly Cab is in Center City, we get a complaint. They are not aware that they were called down there to pick a person up to transport them back to the area which they are allowed to do.

That's the types of complaints we get from the other cab owners.

Q. Would you be able to tell us today the approximate number of complaints you've received in the past year regarding Philly Cab?

12 Α. No, but it would be minimal. It would be 1 under 20. 2 3 MR. MELTZER: Are we talking about from cab companies or from passengers, because you've referred to two types? 5 I could do it separately. 6 MS. DAVISTON: MR. HARRISON: From cab companies we would get 7 quite a few, because every time they would see a Philly 9 Cab in Center City or any other area of the city that 10 are not bounded by Philly's rights, we get a call. As for complaints that are verified, I would say 11 less than 20 in this physical year. 12 BY MS. DAVISTON: 13 14 Q. Do you investigate each complaint? Each and every complaint, yes. 15 To the best of your ability, would you be 16 able to tell us about the reputation of Philly Cab in 17 18 the neighborhoods? 19 They have an outstanding reputation in the neighborhoods. The citizens of Germantown and Chestnut 20 21 Hill and Manayunk are thrilled to have a company come and serve their area. Before that did not have any. 22 Would you be able to tell us about the 23 Ο.

reputation in Philadelphia in general?

Α.

It would be the same as just stated with

24

the community itself.

Q. I have a hypothetical for you.

Based upon your knowledge and experience as a regional supervisor in Philadelphia and a life-long resident of Philadelphia, what would you expect the result to be of the Commission cancelling the neighborhood authority now operated by Philly Cab?

- A. It would be a disaster.
- Q. Why do you think it would be a disaster?
- A. You wouldn't have taxicab service in the areas of Germantown, Chestnut Hill and Manayunk.
 - Q. And your reason is because --
- A. Because of the logistics of the area. You don't find that many drivers who can get around in such an area.
- Q. What do you think would happen if we changed the nonmedallion cabs to medallion cabs?
- A. I've always advocated it should be. It would be easier for enforcement. We wouldn't have half as many problems as we have now if they would get medallions.
- Q. Do you think changing the nonmedallion cabs to medallion cabs would change the nature of the service to the Germantown area?
 - A. No.

12 Would you like to expand upon that? 1 Q. I think we would get better service. would have more vehicles available. I don't think -the taxicab drivers are a certain breed. They go in 4 The cab drivers that work the Germantown 5 certain areas. area would stay in Germantown? 6 You don't think they would leave and go to 7 o. the more lucrative areas outside of Germantown? 8 No, I don't. 9 Α. MS. DAVISTON: I don't have anymore questions for 10 this witness. 11 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MELTZER. Mr. Harrison, you would agree that other 15 16 than the voluntary compliance that the nonmedallion cabs of Philly Cab have met, you have no ability to require 17 their drivers to be certified; is that correct? 18 19 That's correct. And currently if Philly Cab said I don't 20 Q. want to have my drivers go through that process, there's 21 really nothing at current you could do about that; is 22 that correct? 23 There's no way we can enforce or anything. 24

25

Q.

The same way with the vehicle inspections.

Q.

25

What is the current requirement for the age

of the vehicle, medallion cabs? 13 1 2 Α. Six years. And again you would not be able or you 3 Q. cannot compel Walsh Cab or Philly Cab to comply with 4 5 that age requirement; is that correct? That's correct. 6 7 Q. But if Philly Cab did have the medallions, then you could require the age and all the other 8 requirements of the medallion cabs? 9 That's correct. 10 Can you describe for the record what the 11 Q. 12 certification driver process is? They must have their criminal records 13 Α. They must have driver's records checked. 14 They must have a current driver's license, and they have to 15 pay for these with certified checks, ten, ten, and 16 twenty. They get their pictures taken. The dress code 17 is they must have a shirt with a collar on. They must 18 19 have trousers. They must wear socks, no sneaks, no sandals. 20 That certification process again has been 21 Q. agreed to by Philly Cab? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 Without any of the advantages, assuming 24 Ο.

there are some, to being a medallion cab; is that

13 1 correct? 2 Α. That's correct. Are there any other requirements under the 3 Q. medallion program that Philly Cab has voluntarily complied with? They've volunteered to come in Α. 6 annually to have their vehicles inspected. 7 I thought you said that that's the same 8 0. 9 requirement that you would have for nonmedallion cabs. But they don't have to come to our building 10 to be inspected. Philly has volunteered to come to our 11 12 location to be inspected. 13 0. Which is a disadvantage for it as opposed to the other --14 15 Α. The other suburban cabs would go to that location. 16 The Germantown area, which you have 17 0. described as unique, can you provide a little bit more 18 detail why it's so unique? 19 Like I said, again, it's the logistics of 20 Α. it. You may be on 16th Street and the next thing you 21 22 know you are on 78th Avenue. It's the way it's layed out in Germantown. Totally confusing. It's very

difficult to get around.

23

24

25

Based on your knowledge of the Philadelphia 0.

cab industry, are you aware of any other cab companies having citywide rights that operate in that area?

Based on your experience would you know that other cab companies operate if they did operate in that area on a regular basis?

- A. On a regular basis there are none. You have a few drivers that will go and sit on certain locations, germantown and Sheldon, someplace like that, you have certain drivers that would sit there, but other than that on a regular basis, no.
- Q. Certainly your mother would tell you; right?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. Would you agree that in order to drive in Germantown the driver would have to be familiar with that area?
- A. He would definitely have to be familiar with that area.
- Q. You stated that in your opinion that if a medallion were required of the suburban Philly cab, the service to Germantown would not decrease; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what is the the reason for that?
- A. As I said before, most drivers are

creatures of habit. They have a particular area that they work, and if they work Germantown that's where they would stay.

- Q. Based on your knowledge of the cab business, assuming that there's no other cabs operating in Germantown, would that be a profitable area for the cab drivers operating?
- A. Yes, it has to be. I think they have quite a few drivers there.
- Q. 42 cabs, which we believe are currently operating in the Germantown area is a significant sized company in the Philadelphia community; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in your opinion with the limited authority that it has, those cabs would not be operating unless it were able to service those particular customers?
 - A. That's also correct.
- Q. Why would the ability to -- why would it be easier for your agency to handle Philly Cab's operations or inspect Philly Cab's operation better if they had medallion cabs?
- A. If they had medallions, the requirements would be the same all over the city. It wouldn't be nonmedallions have to operate one way and medallions the

13	1	other. To enforce it would be quite easier for us to do
	2	so with the medallions.
	3	Q. Would Philly Cab also have the obligation
	4	then to pay for each medallion cab?
	5	A. Yes, they would.
14	6	Q. And that would increase the coffers of the
	7	agency that receives a medallion fee; is that correct?
	8	A. I'm sure it would.
	9	Q. Part of that could go towards hiring new
	10	personnel or additional personnel for your agency; is
	11	that correct?
	12	A. It probably would, due to the fact that we
	13	would need more personnel to inspect these vehicles.
	14	Q. Well, you inspect them now on a voluntary
	15	basis; is that correct?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. Without deriving any revenue that the
	18	medallion fee would create?
	19	A. That's correct.
	20	Q. What is the approximate medallion fee now?
	21	A. \$825.
	22	Q. Do you have an opinion as to the number of
	23	medallion cabs that Philly might be able to receive and
	24	still ensure the service of the Germantown area?
	25	A. I think they are operating 42 now. I would

say 60. 14 1 You've already given an opinion that it 2 Q. would not change the service of the Germantown community 3 because the cabs wouldn't leave there; correct? 5 Α. That's correct. You don't feel that it would create a 6 Q. 7 disadvantage to existing cab drivers throughout the rest of the city? 8 9 Α. None whatsoever. 10 ο. It would also cut down on the complaints of 11 other drivers that Philly Cab is operating outside the 12 area? That's also true. 13 14 0. And also eliminate the possibility that you would have to investigate a complaint that a cab driver 15 is operating outside of his authority? 16 It would save quite a bit of man-hours, 17 Α. 18 yes. Do you know where Philly Cab's office is? 19 Q. Yes. Don't ask the address. 20 Α. But it's in the Germantown area? 21 Q. Α. Yes. 22 And you would agree that Philly Cab has the 23 Ο. authority to operate not just in the specific Germantown 24

area, but further points in Manayunk, etcetera?

Α. Yes. 14 1 And also parts of Montgomery County? 0. Α. That's correct. 3 To your knowledge is Philly Cab the only 0. nonmedallion cab that operates in a neighborhood of 5 Philadelphia that has that limited authority present? 6 7 Α. Yes, they are. MR. MELTZER: One moment, Your Honor. 8 I think that's all. 9 10 0. In regard to the tariff, again, I believe Philly Cab has agreed to comply with the rates of 11 nonmedallion cabs; is that correct? 12 That is true. 13 But right now if it's not a medallion cab 0. 14 15 it could modify its rates up or down, than those 16 compared -- or at least seek approval to modify its tariff one way or the other if it was not a medallion 17 18 cab; is that correct? 19 Α. If it got approval, yes. 20 But if it became a medallion cab, they ο. 21 would have to charge the same rate whether or not they are operating just inside the Germantown area. 22 That's correct. 23 Α. 24 Q. In regards to the number of medallion cabs,

have you seen the number decrease rather than increase

14 1 through the years? 2 Α. Decrease. 3 0. Do you know the reasons for that? Mostly it's insurance and the business is 5 not there. 6 Q. Is that another reason for your opinion that even if it were granted medallions, Philly Cab 7 8 would still operate in Germantown, because it would not have as much competition? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 11 Just to reiterate, if Philly cab ceased to operate in the Germantown area, that would have a 12 13 negative impact on that community? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 As well as the other areas of its 16 When I say "Germantown," I'm really talking 17 about the entire area of authority that it operates inside of. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Do you know what zone Philly Cab currently 20 21 There was some reference by Mr. Ernst to zones. has? I have no idea. Α. 22 Am I correct that based on what Mr. Ernst 23 0. 24 said that that's an area that is not really regulated by

25

your department?

Α. All the taxis at one time did have zones, 14 1 but because it was an enforcement nightmare it has been 2 discontinued. 3 0. Are there zones still in there in some of 5 the cabs authorities? Α. Yes. 6 But it's something because of practicality 7 that just is not scrutinized? 8 9 Α. That's right. In your opinion is hail service by Philly 10 Q. Cab important in their area of operation? 11 Α. 12 Yes. MR. MELTZER: Those are all the questions that I 13 14 have, Your Honor. 15 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you. 16 Mr. Harrison, maybe you can help me out with the 17 authority that Philly Cab currently has with respect to 18 this application. You have indicated that they took over Penn 19 Radio's rights, and as I understand it at that time 20 21 there was a call box and you went to that location. 22 Is it true that Philly Cab now has radio dispatch? 23 MR. HARRISON: That's correct, Your Honor. 24

25

JUDGE WILLIAMS: And in addition to the radio

dispatch section, I'm looking at what I have as the application, and some of the streets that are listed here or some of the streets that were listed by Mr. Ernst in his testimony regarding the service area for Walsh Cab.

Are you familiar with those two service areas?

MR. HARRISON: Yes.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Is there some overlap between the area that Walsh Cab operates in and the area that Penn Cab would be operating in?

MR. HARRISON: There is an overlap, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Now with regard to the other suburban Cab, Mainline, it seems that that operates in maybe the Winfield section and the Cobbs Creek area?

MR. HARRISON: Mostly City Line Avenue.

I don't think, Your Honor, they have more than six taxis. They are very seldom in the Philadelphia area itself. If you would see one, it would be around the hotels, the Marriott, City Line Avenue.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: With regard to neighborhood authority you heard Mr. Ernst testify and it was his opinion that the Commission could issue certificates for just neighborhood authority and that would not be a medallion cab.

Do you have any information or any opinion

15 1 regarding that? MR. HARRISON: That would be an enforcement 2 3 nightmare. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Could you describe some more of 4 the differences between the medallion and the 5 nonmedallion cab? 6 You've indicated that there's a medallion fee of 7 \$825. Is there an assessment or some other fee that is 8 9 paid by a nonmedallion cab to the Publc Utility Commission? 10 MR. HARRISON: A nonmedallion cab does not have 11 to pay the \$825, Your Honor. 12 13 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Do they pay any type of assessment fees? 14 15 MR. HARRISON: I think the assessments are going 16 to be eliminated. I'm not sure if they've done it yet or not. 17 JUDGE WILLIAMS: In terms of inspections in 18 19 addition to the annual inspection that a medallion cab 20 has to undergo to get a new medallion each year, their 21 on the street inspections, have there been on the street inspections of the Philly Cab that are nonmedallion? 22

23

24

25

MR. HARRISON: Yes, they have been randomly inspected, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: When you characterize the

economic situation in Germantown I think you -- and I just want to make sure that this is correct on the record -- that you indicated that it was similar to

MR. HARRISON: Yes, Your Honor.

other sections of the city?

JUDGE WILLIAMS: And my question really was whether Germantown was more economically depressed than other areas?

MR. HARRISON: I wouldn't say so, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Have you received complaints from other sections of the city that their neighborhoods were not serviced properly or that medallion taxicabs would not come to their area?

MR. HARRISON: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: I have no further questions.

MS. SLOAN: I just have two quick questions for clarification.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. SLOAN:

Q. Just so that we understand, you have testified that in your opinion Philadelphia Cab would continue to service the Germantown area if the medallions were issued to them because of the cab drivers and they tend to stay in their known region.

Would there be any preventive measures from

Я

Philadelphia Cab having medallions hiring non Germantown drivers?

- A. None.
- Q. And if they were in fact to change their drivers to non Germantown residents, would you think that would effect the area?
 - A. I don't think so.
- Q. And you've also testified that you think that 60 medallions would be a decent and reputable number to issue medallions to Philly Cab.

Can you explain to us why you think there should be an increase in the number of cabs since they are currently operating 42?

- A. My explanation of that is they have 42 now and we are losing medallions annually citywide. So if they can operate 42, I'm sure they can do 60 and the differences between would also operate citywide.
- Q. And during our short conversation you indicated your source of information as to why assessments would be eliminated. Can you tell the Court?
 - A. Your Honor, that's only rumor.
- MS. SLOAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer.

BY MR. MELTZER:

- Q. I just wanted to clarify. You don't believe if the Court or the PUC or whoever deems it appropriate for Philly Cab to have medallions that that would then suggest that Walsh Cab, which is as Mr. Ernst testified a suburban cab, would have to be a medallion cab?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Can you explain why?
- A. Walsh Cab primarily operates in the Suburban area. They come to Philadelphia only occasionally. It's nothing everyday with Walsh Cab.
- Q. In your opinion Walsh Cab would not want to undertake all the financial and other requirements of a medallion cab if it doesn't operate in the Philadelphia area on a regular basis?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. MELTZER: That's all I have, Your Honor.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: The Law Bureau.

MS. SLOAN: Well, let me just clarify.

- Q. I assume your same answer would apply to the other suburban cabs that operate in the City Line area in the City of Philadelphia?
 - A. That's correct.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Harrison.

15	1	You may be excused from the stand.
	2	MS. SLOAN: We have no further witnesses, Your
	3	Honor.
	4	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer.
	5	MS. SLOAN: Your Honor, may I request a short
	6	recess, please?
	7	JUDGE WILLIAMS: I was going to ask him how many
	8	witnesses he had.
	9	MR. MELTZER: Maybe we can go off the record,
	10	Your Honor.
16	11	(Discussion held off the record.)
	12	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer.
	13	MR. MELTZER: Earl Huling, please.
	14	EARL HULING, called as a witness, having been
	15	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
	16	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you state your name and
	17	address for the record, please?
	18	MR. HULING: My name is Earl Huling, H-u-l-i-n-g.
	19	My address is 716 East Sheldon Avenue, Philadelphia
	20	19144.
	21	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer, you may proceed.
	22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	23	BY MR. MELTZER:
	24	Q. How are you presently employed?
	25	A. Presently I'm a driver for Philly Cab

16 1 Company. 2 Q. For how long have you been a driver? Almost three years. Since February of 3 1992. 4 Prior to driving for Philly Cab, did you 5 Q. operate a cab? 6 Yes, I did. 7 Α. 8 0. For whom? Yellow Cab Company, Penn Radio Cab Company, 9 10 Sheldon Cab Company -- Sheldon Radio Cab Company. 11 Q. Do you reside in the Germantown area? 12 Yes, we do. 13 And for how long have you lived in the Germantown area? 14 15 Α. 25 years. 16 Q. As a driver for Philly Cab, what area do 17 you drive in? Germantown, Mount Airy, West Oak Lane, 18 Chestnut Hill, Roxborough, Manayunk, the outlying 19 suburbs. 20 Are you familiar with the streets in the 21 Germantown area? 22 23 Yes, we are. 24 We've had some testimony about the unique nature of the Germantown neighborhood, but could you 25

describe in some detail the ease or the difficulty of operating a cab in the Germantown area?

- A. It's very difficult. The Germantown area and its environs, have the largest number of one block streets of any other neighborhood in the entire city. So there are specific streets in Germantown that only run one block. They give you an address on that one block, and if you don't specifically, precisely know where that one block is, you're not going to find it and the customer will consequently not be served.
- Q. Is there any other specific knowledge that someone would need to operate a cab in Germantown other than the one block streets?
- A. It would also be in terms of where the customers are going, and then they say: I want to go to the 5500 block of James, and you say: Do you know where it is. They say: No, you're the cab driver. You take me there. And if you don't know how to get them to the 5500 block of James coming from Wheaton Street, you're at a loss, the customer's complaining, you know. What am I paying you for? Take me to James Street. But I don't know how to get there.

So we not only have to know where addresses are, but we also have to know precisely where the destination is and how to get there in the shortest route, which

Я

makes it the cheapest route.

- Q. In your opinion without having specific knowledge of the Germantown area, could a taxi driver be successful in operating that area?
- A. No. He'd wind up losing money, losing time in getting to the addresses. The customers would be complaining, they'd be waiting for the cabs too long. Then he'd have a problem of not knowing how to get them to where they're going. It would be a disaster. Without the unique personnel to operate the company, it wouldn't work.
- Q. You've been operating in Germantown for Philly Cab for approximately three years.

Do you see other cab companies operating in your area of operation on a regular basis?

- A. A few. Very few.
- Q. At some point did you have another position with Philly Cab?
 - A. I'm a part-time dispatcher, as well.
 - Q. And what does a dispatcher do?
- A. A dispatcher takes the tickets from the operators on the telephones and speaks over the radio and directs the cabs to the particular address that are coming in.
 - Q. Where is the Philly Cab' office?

16 4800 Germantown Avenue. 1 Α. 2 How long has that office been at that Q. location? 3 Α. Two months, three months. Prior to that time, where was the office? 5 Down at Broad and Pike, at the Yellow 6 Α. 7 Eagles Garage. 0. And prior to that where was the office? 9 Lafayette Hills. 10 And at the present location it has a radio Q. dispatch system? 11 We've always had a radio dispatch system 12 even from the first day of operation. 13 Now, when you were a dispatcher or when you 14 15 served as a part-time dispatcher, can you give us an 16 approximation, if you can, of the number of calls or 17 wires, that are called that come in in the course of -we'll call it a day for Philly Cab? 18 19 Α. Your Honor, in a 7 to 3 shift in the 20 beginning of the month when more people are moving and 21 going places, we can run 4 to 500 wires. In an 8-hour

wires in a 24-hour period.

22

23

24

25

As it goes toward the third and fourth weeks of

shift, 7 to 3. In a 24-hour period of time, in the

early part of the month, we can run as high as 1,500

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the month, the number of wires start to drop because people have less cash and it's not moving as many places as they are during the first two weeks.

- Does Philly Cab have any corporate accounts or regular accounts?
- Yes, we do. We service Crestview Nursing Α. Home. The nursing home found out that it was actually less expensive to use taxicabs to carry their employees from Fernrock Train Station to the nursing home, than it was to actually purchase and lease vans and hire drivers and actually use their own people. So they essentially outplaced that service to Philly Cab.
 - And you drive the employees to work?
- Yes, three shifts a day, as well corporate accounts like Eagle Lodge, Chestnut Hill Rehabilitation Center, etcetera.
- Q. Do you also have regular customers, individuals?
- Α. Most of the regular drivers have lists of regular customers. So all of us have regulars. call in and say: Is Earl working. Tell him I'm at so-and-so. Tell him to come and get me. And the dispatcher tells him: You have a call from Mrs. Hunter. Go and pick her up.
 - What type of locations do you transport ο.

your customers to?

- A. It's predominantly medical, doctors offices, hospitals, therapy sessions. Then you have your food shopping, your other merchandise shopping and school activities, running ladies' children to the day care center so they can go to work, but it's predominantly medical, because we run in and out of seven hospitals daily, dozens of times.
- Q. Based on your experience at Philly Cab and on the customers that you serve, how many are repeat customers, would you say?

When I say "how many," I'm talking about what percentage of total trips that you would take?

- A. Eighty, ninety percent are steady two and three times a week or more than that.
- Q. Are you familiar with other drivers of Philly Cab?
 - A. Yes, I know all of the drivers.
- Q. Are all of them familiar with the Germtown area?
- A. Yes, it's essential. If they are not familiar with the Germantown area, it becomes evident very, very quickly, because the customers start to complain.
 - Q. If you were operating a cab at Philly Cab

and it had citywide authority, would you still remain in the Germantown area that you're presently serving?

- A. Exactly.
- Q. You certainly are free today to drive for a cab having a medallion or citywide authority; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And yet you choose to drive for Philly Cab in the Germantown area?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. I can consistently make \$100 a day with Philly Cab, consistently. The most important thing about cab money is consistency. You can have a bad day and a good day.

I could go to other companies in other areas and I can have a good day and a string of bad days. Philly Cab, the Germantown area money it's consistent. There's a certain number of people that are going to ride every day. The sun comes up, they are going to ride. That makes the money consistent, and that makes it a desirable place to work.

- Q. But is it true that the types of trips are not the real expensive long distance trips?
 - A. Granted. You don't expect someone to pay

Q. I don't know if you were here when Mr. Harrison testified, but your vehicles get inspected down at the PUC?

A. Correct.

22

23

24

17 1 Q. And you also have to go through the 2 certification process? 3 Α. Um-hum. What is the public transportation situation in the Germantown area? 5 How would you describe it? 6 Α. It's reasonably good. It's reasonably 7 good, but there's a preponderance of elderly people, and 8 you can't imagine the amount of times that we get a call 9 to pick someone up that tells us: I just can't make 10 11 that first step on a Septa bus. I'm sorry it's a short 12 trip, but I need you to help me in the cab, take me to 13 the short distance and help me out, because I just can't climb the steps on a Septa bus. That happens to us many 14 15 times a day. 16 And you also have hail service; is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Approximately what percentage is hail 19 Q. service, if you know? 20 Α. Approximately 20 percent. 21 Are you familiar with the p.m. shift or 22 we'll call it the midnight through the morning shift 23 18 type of service that's called for, for the cabs 24 operating that period? 25

A. Yes. We have a 11 to 7 shift. We operate
24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The 11 to 7 shift gets
as many as 200 calls a night. One of the things about
the 11 to 7 shift is a number of suburban cab companies
don't operate at all. It's nothing for a Philly Cab to
be called up to Abington or Rolling Hills or Lafayette
Hills, because a suburban person wants to move from the
suburbs to even someplace in the suburbs, but there's no
other cab service available. Norristown Cab shuts down
at 10 p.m. There's no one else to call but us.

- Q. What type of service do you provide to people during that 11 to 7 shift?
- A. Essential service. There are people who have to be at work at 6 or at 5 or have to catch an early morning plane or catch an early morning train that have no other way to get away from their house. We have 24 hour a day dispatching and 24 hour cabs.
- Q. Other than the difficulty of driving in the area and one not familiar with it, are there any other reasons in your opinion that other cab companies would not operate in the current area of operation for Philly Cab?
- A. Well, they'd have to make a significant investment in equipment first. Then they'd have to make a significant investment in radio dispatching system

which costs us \$2,500 a week. Then they'd have to make a significant commitment in getting experienced Germantown knowledgeable drivers.

That would take time and money, and I cannot imagine them recouping their investment for three to five years. It really wouldn't be worth it to start it up from scratch in terms of trying to compete against us.

- Q. In your opinion if you had citywide authority would other drivers currently driving for Philly Cab continue to operate in Germantown if you were a medallion cab?
- A. Exactly. Once again it's consistent daily money.
- Q. On the other side if Philly Cab were to lose its authority for whatever reason, what impact in your opinion would that have not only on you as a driver but also the community in general in the Germantown area?
- A. It would have a disastrous impact on it. I can't count the number of times that someone has told us that they've called United Cab, Yellow, Keystone and us. They'll call four and five different cab companies and they'll tell us, the first one who gets to my house is the one who gets my business. We consistently get there

first, but yet I've also heard people tell me they've called Yellow Cab or United two hours ago and then decided to call us, and we were there in 15 minutes and the Yellow or United Cab still hadn't arrived yet.

That's the problem. You see, once you give the driver that taxicab, you tend to lose control of it. Especially with people like United and Yellow. Ours is more closer and tight-nit. Since the drivers know the area and the money's consistent there, they have the greater incentive to shoot right to that address.

MR. MELTZER: Those are all the questions that I have.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SLOAN:

Q. Mr. Huling, you've stated that you've been driving for Philly Cab Company since February of 1992 and then listed three other previous taxicabs.

In total how long have you been a Philadelphia taxicab driver?

- A. 24 years.
- Q. You also listed Penn Radio Cab as one of your previous. Is that the predecessor to Philly Cab?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Yellow Cab I know is not involved in this?
 - A. Yes.

What about Sheldon Radio Cab? 1 18 0. 2 Α. I worked for them, as well. Do they provide citywide? 3 Q. Sheldon is out of business now. Α. 4 5 Did they provide? Q. 6 Α. No. It was strictly in the Germantown, 7 West Oak Lane, Mount Airy, Chestnut Hill area. In fact it was a competitor when it 8 0. existed? 9 10 It was a predecessor. That's what I needed to know. 11 0. Thank you. When did you drive for Yellow Cab? I'm curious 12 as to what time periods. 13 14 I started with Yellow Cab March the 5th, I worked on and off for them up to '86. 15 16 started with Sheldon from '86 of January and I worked with Sheldon until Yellow took him over in October of 17 18 I worked briefly for Yellow from October of '89 19 until I left them and came to Philly in February of '92. 20 Q. Okay. So based on that history, you have had experience with driving citywide; is that correct? 21 Yes, I have. 22 Α. 23 Q. And so some of your testimony is based on your personal experience as a citywide driver? 24

Not some of it. All of it.

Α.

18 1	Q. When were you employed as a part-time
2	dispatcher?
3	A. That started over a year ago and ever since
4	then, off and on, any time one of the dispatchers need
L9 5	time off or Mike needs me to fill in, I help him out.
6	MR. MELTZER: For the record, Mike is the manager
7	or the general manager.
8	BY MS. SLOAN:
9	Q. When you testified about the consistency of
10	the daily intake, could you give us an approximate
11	number of runs that you would make in your shift per
12	day?
13	A. I worked 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. I'm looking for
14	20 wires in that 12-hour period of time. You can
15	imagine that if there are 40 cabs out there, then they
16	can handle 1,600 wires a day, but that's only for the
17	a.m. shift. The p.m. shift is the same way.
18	Q. But based on that, I'm going to use some
19	rough math. Your average fare is approximately \$5 based
20	on your hundred dollar a day consistency and your 20
21	runs?
22	A. No, about \$8.
23	Q. Okay. How old is the vehicle you are
24	currently operating?
25	A. Ten years old.

Q. And based on your close-nit and complete experience, approximately how old are the vehicles run by Philly Cab?

- A. How old are they?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Averaging?
- Q. Right.
 - A. About seven or eight years ago.

The reason mine is so old is it's in mint-condition.

- Q. In your estimation would there be an affect if you were required to have a protective barrier in your cab?
- A. Two problems. Operational problems.

 Number one, I have a number of elderly ladies, and you ladies know as ladies get older they begin to have problems with their knees, and they say: Earl, can I please sit up front? So I let them sit up front.

 That's because actually they have a medical condition that they really can't bend their needs.

The other problem is -- not a problem. The other situation. I have a Mercury Marquis. It's virtually a large car. I can move the seat all the way back, giving them maximum room to pivot and put their legs up. You put the shield in, that seat is frozen and stopped.

It's locked. I can see them having a problems getting in the front or the back with that shield in, just from

a purely operational point of view.

The second thing is the shield prevents intimacy of conversation. You ride passengers to the point where you know about their daughters, their sons, their grandchildren, their babies. They tell you everything. The shield would prevent that intimacy of conversation, which is part of the experience, really. That's why they call for the same driver every day, because they develop relationships with them.

- Q. Again, based on your 24 years and your experience with Philly Cab, if you were required to have a newer vehicle and the protective barrier, do you think that would in fact affect your relationship with your customers?
 - A. It would, for those two reasons.

MS. SLOAN: I have no further questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MELTZER:

- Q. The vehicle that you have now is still inspected on a regular basis; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's done by Mr. Harrison's department; is that correct?

1 Α. Exactly. JUDGE WILLIAMS: I have one question. 2 We've talked about radio dispatch. If I were new 3 to the Germantown area and I wanted to locate your cab, what would I look under to get the telephone number? 5 It's listed alphabetically. MR. HULING: 6 JUDGE WILLIAMS: But would I look under Philly 7 Cab or --8 MR. HULING: It would be listed there. Yes. 10 JUDGE WILLIAMS: So it would be listed as Philly 11 Cab and when I called Philly Cab, I would get your radio 12 dispatch as opposed to the medallion cabs for Philly? Exactly. 13 MR. HULING: 14 MR. MELTZER: We could have testimony to that. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Okay. 1.5 MR. MELTZER: Maybe I can clarify that. 16 ٥. Philly Cab has medallion and nonmedallion 17 cabs? 18 Correct. 19 Α. 20 Ο. Is there the same phone number for both the medallion and the nonmedallion cabs? 21 22 Α. Yes. All calls come to the same radio dispatch room. 23 24 ο. But if I live in Germantown and I call that number and I say I want you to pick me up on Stenton 25

Avenue, such and such street, the dispatcher would 19 1 dispatch the nonmedallion cabs, wouldn't it, or could it 2 dispatch either? 3 Closest to the customer, but there No. have been situations, numerous times, where one of the 5 elderly will actually say: Please don't send a 6 Why. I have problems getting in medallion medallion. 7 cabs. Please send me a Philly Cab. I have two bad 8 I have arthritis in my knees. In your experience there are both medallion 10 11 and nonmedallion cabs serving the Germantown area; 12 correct? 13 Α. Correct. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Does either counsel have anymore 14 15 questions. 16 MR. MELTZER: I have no other questions. 17 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you, very much. MR. MELTZER: The additional driver's here are 18 Evelyn Whiting, William Jackson, Bazil Malone, Donald 19 20 Marshall, and Leroy Middleton is not here. We have a dispatcher here, Pam Coston, Your 21 22 Honor. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you spell your last name, 23 please? 24

MS. COSTON: C-o-s-t-o-n.

MR. MELTZER: We also have a customer, Your Honor, Joan Shumaker, who is a regular patron of Philly Cab.

I would only ask her a few separate questions, but basically, I believe that all of their testimony, from the driver's standpoint or the patron's standpoint, in my opinion would be cumulative of what Mr. Huling testified to.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would everyone remain standing and raise your right hands, please.

(Witnesses sworn en masse.)

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Let the record reflect they all said "I do."

You may be seated until Mr. Meltzer asks you a question.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MELTZER:

- Q. Ms. Whiting, you've been present during Mr. Huling's testimony; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you basically adopt the testimony that you've heard from Mr. Huling regarding the operation of Philly Cab, the customers in the area that you serve and the other aspects he's been cross-examined and direct-examined on?

	i i	
20	1	A. Yes, I do.
	2	Q. Is there anything you wish to add?
	3	A. No.
	4	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you ask her how long she's
	5	been driving.
	6	BY MR. MELTZER:
	7	Q. Okay. How long have you been driving for
	8	Philly Cab?
	9	A. Two and a half years.
	10	Q. How long have you been a cab driver?
	11	A. About four years.
	12	Q. And where do you reside?
	13	A. Germantown.
	14	Q. And what's the address?
	15	A. 223 East Washington Lane 19144.
	16	MR. MELTZER: I don't have anything further.
	17	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Ms. Sloan.
	18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	19	BY MS. SLOAN:
	20	Q. Would you change any of the testimony you
	21	heard Mr. Huling had?
	22	A. No.
	23	MR. MELTZER: Mr. Jackson.
	24	
	25	

0	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION							
	2	BY MR. MELTZER:							
	3	Q. Could you state your address for the							
	4	record, please?							
	5	A. 115 East Colter Street.							
	6	Q. Is that in Germantown?							
	7	A. Yes.							
	8	Q. How long have you been a driver for Philly							
	9	Cab?							
	10	A. Three years.							
	11	Q. You've heard Mr. Hulings testimony on							
	12	direct and cross-examination; is that correct?							
	13	A. Yes, I did.							
	14	Q. Do you adopt his testimony?							
	15	A. Yes.							
	16	Q. Is there anything you wish to change or							
	17	modify?							
	18	A. No.							
	19	Q. For how long have you been a cab driver?							
	20	A. Roughly around 18 years.							
·	21	MR. MELTZER: Any questions?							
	22	MS. SLOAN: No.							
	23	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you.							
	24	MR. MELTZER: Mr. Malone.							
	25								

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. MALONE: 2 State your address, please. Q. 3 232 West Earlham Terrace. Α. 4 Is that located in Germantown? 5 Q. Α. Yes. 6 7 For how long have you lived in Germantown? Α. About 20 years. 8 You are a new resident of Germantown. 9 You've heard Mr. Huling's testimony. Is there 10 11 anything you would change or alter or do you adopt his 12 testimony? All of it. 13 Α. 14 Q. You would change all of it or you adopt all of it? 15 I accept all of it. 16 Α. MR. MELTZER: 17 Janet. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SLOAN: 19 20 I'm just not sure. How long have you been 21 a driver for Philly Cab? For about a year and a half. 22 Α. How long have you driven a cab in 23 0. Philadelphia? 24 25 Α. Eight years.

20	1		MR. MELTZER: Mr. Marshall.							
	2		DIRECT EXAMINATION							
	3	BY MR.	MELI	MELTZER:						
	4		Q.	State your address, please.						
	5		Α.	. 119 East Price Street.						
	6		Q.	. Is that in Germantown?						
	7		Α.	Yes.						
	8		Q.	For how long have you lived in Germantown?						
	9		Α.	Four years.						
:	10		Q.	And you are a driver for Philly Cab?						
5	11		Α.	Yes.						
:	12		Q.	How long have you been a driver for Philly						
1	13	Cab?								
1	14		Α.	Two years.						
1	15		Q.	How long have you been a cab driver?						
1	16		Α.	For about 16.						
1	17		Q.	You've heard Mr. Huling's testimony.						
1	18		Do y	ou adopt it?						
1	19		Α.	Everything, yes.						
2	20		Q.	Is there anything you wish to alter or add?						
2	21		A.	No.						
2	22			CROSS-EXAMINATION						
2	N:									
2	24		Q.	Just for the record, do you adopt Mr.						
2	25	Holland	l's t	estimony, as well?						

1 Α. Yes. 2 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Huling is his name. 3 MS. SLOAN: I'm sorry. I've been calling you the 4 wrong thing. 5 MR. MELTZER: Mrs. Shumaker. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MELTZER: 7 Where do you reside, Ms. Shumaker? Q. 9 In Mount Airy, 271 East Nehan Avenue. Α. For how long have you been a patron of 10 Q. Philly Cab? 11 Α. I don't know. I hate to tell you, I just 12 checked my diary. I have 18 cab companies that I have 13 14 occasionally called. 15 At least three years. 16 Q. How long have you been a resident of the 17 Mount Airy area? Α. 47 years. 18 19 Q. Are you satisfied with the services of Philly Cab? 20 21 Α. Yes, I am. 22 And you've heard Mr. Huling's testimony 0. regarding the impact on the residents of your area if 23 Philly Cab would go out of business. Do you adopt that 24 conclusion? 25

Α. I certainly do. 1 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SLOAN: 3 For the record, I have noticed that you 5 have some difficulty. JUDGE WILLIAMS: For the record, she has two 6 canes that she just lifted. 7 BY MS. SLOAN: Let me ask do you find the testimony of Mr. 9 0. Huling correct about the protective barriers and the 10 11 entry into the vehicles? 12 Yes, I do. Every one of them, they don't 13 all have a handle that you can hold onto when you get it, but I would suggest that even a fabric handle would 14 15 help. But you can grip the backrest. 16 0. Do you find it difficult to get into and out of a cab that has the barrier? 17 The partition, yes. 18 Α. 19 MS. SLOAN: Thank you. 20 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Ms. Shumaker, you're the only 21 patron that we have here, so I just want to get some 22 more information from you about the service. 23 Could you tell us a little bit about how you feel the service is? 24 MS. SHUMAKER: Fine. I was a school teacher for 25

many years and I took Penn Radio as a rule to school every morning, but when they went out of business, I tried others. I tried of course Yellow Cab, and I had two problems with Yellow Cab. One was that they were not reliable as far as time goes. I sometimes waited an hour, three quarters, two hours and a quarter, even when I called to remind them I was still waiting.

The other thing was they had a meter that was wrong, and one of our refugees came in. At that time the fare in town was \$18 or \$19 all the way into the historical society, and he charged \$28, so I called in to complain, and you have to complain to a recorder. However, I did complain, but I switched to Philly Cab and they are reliable and they are reasonable, and I call them all the time. I can ask for one special driver if I wish.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MR. MELTZER: Do you want to go in our advertisements?

I have no other questions.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank the witnesses that came.

At this point, it's 25 minutes to 1. How long do you think your other witnesses would be?

MR. MELTZER: I would like to have a relatively short break for lunch and I don't think it would be more

1

2

3

5

7

Ω

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than a half hour, 45 minutes depending on cross-examination.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Why don't we return from lunch by 1:30.

> (Whereupon, at 12:35 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer, you may continue with your case.

MR. MELTZER: Mr. Gabbay.

Your Honor, just as a point of information or an offer of proof, it's my understanding that this is not the normal application process type hearing. There are no protests, so I don't think it necessary and I'm not prepared to go into -- though I'll refer to it, the financial background and all the normal elements, because there haven't been any protests filed. I think the issue here is should they be medallion cabs or not be medallion cabs and whether the area is completely served.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: That's my understanding that there's no need to put on information about the financial background or the experience of the owner.

Is that your understanding?

That's correct, Your Honor. MS. SLOAN: not prepared to delve into those issues at all.

MR. MELTZER: That's fine. 1 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you raise your right hand, 2 please? 3 JACOB GABBAY, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 6 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you state your name and your business address for the record, please? 7 MR. GABBAY: Jacob Gabbay, G-a-b-b-a-y, 1314 8 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107. 9 10 JUDGE WILLIAMS: You may continue. DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. MELTZER: 12 Mr. Gabbay, you are one of the owners of 13 Q. Philly Cab; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 16 For how long have you been an owner of 17 Philly Cab or Penn Radio? Somewhere around ten years. 18 And you acquired Penn Radio through a 19 0. bankruptcy proceeding; is that correct? 20 Yes, I bought it through bankruptcy court. 21 Q. Where is the present headquarters of Philly 22 Cab? 23 Germantown Avenue. 24 Α. How long has it been at the location of 25 Q.

1	Germantown A	venue?						
2	Α.	Couple months. A few months.						
3	Q.	Who owns the building?						
4	Α.	Philly Cab Company purchased the building						
5	and we furbi	shed the whole building and built the						
6	dispatch room	m down there and garage.						
7	Q.	That was my next question. That is where						
8	the garage is located?							
9	Α.	Yes.						
10	Q.	And that is where the radio dispatch						
11	operates from	n?						
12	Α.	Yes.						
13	Q.	Do you have a full-time manager or managers						
14	at Philly Cal	o?						
15	Α.	Yes.						
16	Q.	Who is that?						
17	Α.	Mike.						
18	Q.	Last name.						
19	Α.	Reibenbach.						
20	Q.	Now Philly Cab has both medallion and						
21	nonmedallion	cabs; correct?						
22	Α.	Yes.						
23	Q.	Am I correct that both medallion and						
24	nonmedallion	cabs operate out of the Philly Cab dispatch						
25	system?							

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. If the suburban-type operation of Philly
3	Cab is required to have a medallion, is it your intent
4	to continue to service the Germantown area?
5	A. Sure.
6	Q. Do some of the medallion cabs presently
7	serve the Germantown area?
8	A. Yes, they do.
9	Q. As well as the nonmedallion cabs?
10	A. Yes, they do.
11	Q. Would it be your intent to continue to
12	instruct your personnel to hire drivers or retain
13	drivers that are familiar with the Germantown area?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Is Mr. Reibenbach your manager on a
16	day-to-day basis?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. How many cabs does Philly Cab non-suburban
19	operation currently operate?
20	A. Somewhere 42 or 45.
21	Q. Those are the only questions that I have,
22	Your Honor.
23	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Ms. Sloan.
24	

	2	BY MS. SLOAN:
	3	Q. Mr. Gabbay, the 42 to 45 cabs operated by
	4	Philly Cab, are those owned by Philly Cab?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. How many of them would meet the age
	7	requirements if you would convert to medallions?
	8	A. Not too many.
	9	Q. So most of them are over the six-year age
	10	limit?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. There would be a substantial requirement of
	13	investment on your part therefore to convert to
	14	medallions; is that correct?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. As owner of Philly Cab do you think that
	17	would hurt your operations?
	18	A. It will hurt my operation if if you're
2	19	talking about only the neighborhood cab.
_	20	Q. So there would in fact be some business
	21	considerations to converting all 42 of those cabs or as
	22	Mr. Harrison suggested, even more, 60 cabs to
	23	medallions?
	24	A. Yes, but it would be the good and the bad.
	25	If I'm going to stay with the same right, it will hurt

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me, but if I get more right, the medallion right, it would be beneficial to the company.

- How would it be of benefit to the company?
- They will have more wide range of doing business in the City of Philadelphia and not just restricted to an area.
- So that some of your cabs in order to 0. recoup the investment you would make to get the medallion, some of your cabs would be required to go outside Germantown?
- Α. I'm not saying required. I'm saying if they are outside they can still pick up a fare and not have to run back to the base or the designated area. They can stay all over the city.

I give you an example. If we have a ride now from Germantown to the airport, the cab have to leave immediately the airport to go back to Germantown. it's a medallion cab, he'll stay in the airport and pick up a fare either back to the city and continue to Germantown -- I think you are not familiar with Philadelphia and airport. We're on this side. Center City is over here and the airport is over here. least they'll get a fare to Center City and continue to Germantown, so at least he'll have a benefit of having a regular medallion.

Q.	Mr	. Ga	abbay,	you	also	hav	ve a	portion	of	the
authority	that	are	nonme	dall:	ion;	is t	that	correct	?	

- A. Yes.
- Q. So you are familiar with the current situation at the airport; would that also be correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it unfair to characterize the waiting pen as anywhere between three to four hours for pickup at the airport?
- A. They do and they don't. Sometimes -- it's a lot of people that work just only in the airport.

 They get the fare. They run to the city and come right back to the airport and wait, and that's the way they operate.

Our benefit is on some days that you can't get a cab in the airports. You just can't get a cab in the airport. Some days you have to wait three or four hours for a fare. It varies. Sometimes during the daytime and during the nighttime it's all different day.

Sometimes the airport is busy. Sometimes it's not.

- Q. Would it be fair that the example that you gave as to how Philly Cab could benefit from the expanded authority, could also mean that a cab could be tied up at the airport and not servicing Germantown?
 - A. In some respect yes and some no, because we

•

are going to put more cabs in Germantown so that if we lose the ten percent that goes out of town, we're still servicing the Germantown area.

- Q. If you are held to a certain number, let's say we give you 42 medallions, is there any assurances to the community and to the Commission that there will be sufficient service for the Germantown, Chestnut Hill Mount Airy and Manayunk areas?
- Q. First of all, we are currently operating on a low cabs, because of various reasons: One we move to a new building. There are things as insurance problems, but we certainly gone high much more on a 60 cabs, as you heard the testimony. They have somewhere around 1,500, even 2,000 calls a day, and we need more cabs to put on the street.

So I assume that 42 cabs it wouldn't be -- I don't think, if we're talking about 60 or 70 cabs, then if we lose about ten percent out of it outside the area, we still can serve very good Germantown, Mount Airy, whatever our place.

- Q. It is your request that if in fact the Commission were to convert your authority to medallion cabs, you would be requesting more than the 42 cabs you are currently operating?
 - A. As I said, we operate sometimes more than

I'm not sure.

Α.

Q. I understand that you don't. I'r explaining that that is the situation.

If that is the situation and there are not 60 or 70 medallions to offer your company in exchange for the unlimited authority you now have, would your company find that it is a financial loss to have only 20 or 30 or 40 medallions issued to you?

A. It will come to the point that it will, because we have administration costs that we need certain amounts of cabs in the street to cover for telephone operator, the county, lot people, dispatcher people, office supply. So therefore the certain amount of cabs that we need to have on the street to cover those expenses if we are going to go lower than that, we are probably going to lose money and probably eventually close the company.

- Q. Your application is to separate your medallion operation from what we term in the Commission, neighborhood operations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would in fact your company be able to continue to operate the neighborhood authority as it now exists?
 - A. Yes.
 - MS. SLOAN: Thank you. I have no further

questions.

MR. MELTZER: I have a few questions on redirect, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MELTZER:

Q. If the Commission granted you a number of medallions, less than even the 42 that you currently have, as I understand it, you would have only a limited number of cabs to service not just the city, but also the Germantown area.

In your opinion if you do not receive at a minimum the 42 or perhaps the 60 medallions that have been suggested here, would you be able to continue to service the Germantown area?

- A. Like I say, if it's coming to the point that we cannot take care of administration costs --
- Q. I'm not talking about administration costs.

 I'm talking about your ability to service.
- A. The ability is not going to be there because it's going to be lower. We are I think now at the lowest stage that we can stay. That's why we went in because of various reasons I said. One of them is insurance costs. So we stay at a minimum just to keep ourselves floating and not taking money out of our pockets.

23

24

25

authority --

1	Q. In your opinion if you were required to be
2	a medallion cab, what number would be sufficient to
3	service Germantown Cab, given that it wouldn't be an
4	unlimited number, what number would be appropriate to
5	service the Germantown area and yet be a medallion cab?
6	A. I'd rather see a hundred of them, but if we
7	can get 70 I think it will be sufficient so that we
8	can to run the whole operation.
9	Q. You heard Mr. Harrison mention the number
10	60.
11	In your opinion would 60 be possibly sufficient
12	to service the area?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. When your cabs when the neighborhood
15	cabs go into Center City on a fare or returning from
16	Center City on a fare, are you aware of instances of
17	harassment by medallion cab drivers against your
18	drivers?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Would that be eliminated in your opinion if
21	your cabs were also medallion cabs?
22	A. Of course. I think also the PUC and the

Q. Other than Mr. Harrison's department, is

police can govern it better when they see full

there a portion of the Philadelphia Police Department 1 that also has some type of enforcement? 2 Taxi squad. 3 Α. Taxi squad? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. It's called the taxi squad? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. In your experience, are they as familiar as 8 Q. Mr. Harrison is with the nonmedallion versus medallion 9 issue? 10 11 Α. I assume they do. 12 Have your drivers experienced some 13 harassment from members of the taxi squad because the medallions don't appear on the hoods of the cars? 14 15 Α. Yes. Whether or not it's in Germantown? 16 17 Α. Yes. MR. MELTZER: I have no other questions. 18 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Gabbay, when Mr. Huling was 19 testifying, he made mention of the radio dispatch and 20 21 you've also talked about the radio dispatch. Prior to going to Germantown Avenue, were your 22 medallion taxicabs under a different radio association? 23 Some of them under our association and some Α. 24

of them with a different association.

3

1	Q. Was that United?
2	A. United and Crescent.
3	Q. And are you saying that now all of the
4	cabs, whether they are medallion or nonmedallions are
5	under Philly Radio Association?
6	A. No, not all of them. Some of them are
7	under United and some of them are under Philly Cab
8	Company.
9	Well, one time I was the president of United Cab
10	Company, so I don't know if it's good or bad.
11	MR. MELTZER: Well, you don't brag about it.
12	MR. GABBAY: I don't brag about it, so I brought
13	a lot of cabs to United Cab Company.
14	JUDGE WILLIAMS: What is your present position
15	with Philly Cab Company?
16	MR. GABBAY: I'm the owner.
17	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Are you also addressed as
18	president?
19	MR. GABBAY: No.
20	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Are you the sole owner?
21	MR. GABBAY: Me and my brother.
22	JUDGE WILLIAMS: What is your brother's name?
23	MR. GABBAY: Maurice Gabbay.
24	JUDGE WILLIAMS: Do you have any other questions?
25	MS. SLOAN: No.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer. 1 MR. MELTZER: Just one, although I don't know how 2 relevant it is for this hearing, but maybe the record should have it. BY MR. MELTZER: 5 6 Q. The reason to initially carve out -- the 7 reason for this application was to segregate in a 8 separate company the nonmedallion and medallion cabs; is that correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. There would be no need for that if the 11 nonmedallion became medallion cabs; is that correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 14 Q. What was the reason to try and carve it out initially, to try to separate medallion --15 16 Α. We tried because of insurance purposes. 17 Q. But as far as the operation, it wouldn't 18 change? No, it wouldn't change at all. 19 Α. MR. MELTZER: That's all I have. 20 21 JUDGE WILLIAMS: So at the present time, Penn Cab Company does not operate any cabs? 22 MR. GABBAY: They do operate under Philly Cab 23 Company. When we have the transfer, then Penn Cab will 24

take the name Philly Cab. It will be the same thing.

1 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you. 2 MR. MELTZER: Mr. Kenney. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Please raise your right hand. 3 PAUL KENNEY, SR., called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you state your name and 6 your business address for the record, please? 7 MR. KENNEY: My name is Paul I. Kenney, K-e-n-n-e-y. My business address is 620 Park Avenue, 9 10 New Cumberland, Pennsylvania 17070. 11 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Meltzer, you may proceed. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MELTZER: 1.4 0. Mr. Kenney, by whom are you presently 15 employed? I am president of P.I. Kenney Associates. 16 Α. 17 And what is P.I. Kenney Associates? Q. It's a consulting firm that deals in 18 Α. transportation companies, mainly passenger. 19 20 Q. Could you briefly describe your employment experience with the Public Utility Commission? 21 22 I started with the Public Utility Commission in November of 1954 and I was in the fixed 23 utility section. It was an interim of ten years when I 24 was associated with the consulting firm of Webber, Fig 25

and Wilson and two years while I was with Penn Fuel Gas.

In those capacities I dealt with the original cost section, continuing property records and while with Penn Fuel I established a plan for both the construction, retirement and maintenance plan I submitted to the Commission and was accepted by the Commission.

Shortly after that, with the start of the changing and the electric utility field and because of my background in continuing property records, I returned to the Public Utility Commission in 1968, and I've stayed in that fixed utility section until the end of 1971. When there was a vacancy in the Transportation Bureau, I was given the opportunity to go into that section as the supervisor of the analysts.

From 1972 through 1986 I was in transportation.

In 1979 I was given a job of manager in the finance division in which I held until I retired in 1986.

I've been a member of the Nassau State

Transportation Specialists, I was with the chairman of
the rate committee. I was on the railroad and the motor
carrier committees.

Since February of 1986, I've had my little consulting firm going. I've been dealing with the passenger carriers mainly. I've done a few of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property carriers, but my main field has been with passenger; that's bus, taxi, limousine, airport transfer.

- Mr. Kenney, you were present when Mr. Ernst testified this morning regarding the brief history of the authority of Penn Radio slash Philly Cab; is that correct?
 - That's correct, yes.
- At my request had you examined the history Q. of the authority of Penn Radio and Philly Cab?
 - Α. Yes, I have.
- And do you have anything to add to Mr. Ernst's recitation of the history of the authority of Philly Cab based upon your review of the records?
- Α. Based on my review of Penn Radio Cab, I found that the original authority was granted back in the 1920's to a -- if I may look at my notes.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Sure.

MR. KENNEY: I had them all together at one time.

It was issued to an Andrew Jennings, and Mr. Jennings in 1951 sold that to Mr. Jack Giller, who then

traded as proprietorship of Penn Radio Cab.

In the times of 1952 it was the first time he tried -- you've got to remember that we're talking about Right No. 1.

Right No. 1 of course had the elements in there of the hourly rate, the \$2 minimum charge, and the address of 529 West Cedrick Street. That was not the original address it was in. It had changed later on to this 529.

The Commission had on several occasions denied the ability to remove the time rates, the specific location and the restriction on the address.

In 1951 I found that the Commission issued a cease and desist order against Mr. Giller for running a corps of demand service. The Commission at that point in time designated that he was really running a limousine, as we know it today.

Mr. Giller in 1959 purchased from Francis J.

Macnamara and David Maroni, they were partners who

traded as Chestnut Hill Radio Cab, and the Chestnut Hill

Radio Cab is what we would designate in this authority

as No. 2.

- Q. Based on No. 2, in tracing that authority, do you have anything to add from what Mr. Ernst had said that significantly bears on his testimony in any way or is it basically correct from his review of the same records that you apparently reviewed?
- A. Well, as I say, I took my analysis up to what I was establishing what this No. 2 authority should

be. And as I was requested that the parties had a problem with what they call "closing the boundaries."

Q. Let me get to that part.

Based on my requests, did you review the records and attempt to come up with a clarification of some of the authority for No. 2 in regard to the street locations?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you find in regard to the territory in No. 2 that needed clarification or revision?
- A. I have a copy of the original order that was issued to Francis MacNamara and David Maroni.
 - Q. What did you find about the streets?
- A. In there they had, for instance, as you come down in the description after Port Royal Avenue there's the word "cross street." Now there's no cross street located, according to the city maps, in that area. Cross street is located down in South Philadelphia, and what they were -- my interpretation is that they were trying to describe an area with an unnamed street that was a cross street that would take them from Port Royal Avenue to Shawmont Avenue.
 - Q. And at my request --
 - A. Then there was also the misspelling of the

word Manatanna. M-a-n-n-a -- which is a strike over on the original of the "T." And the correct spelling is M-a-n-a-t-a-n-n-a. And that's according to the street map of Philadelphia.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you say that again?

MR. KENNEY: M-a-n-a-t-a-n-n-a.

MS. SLOAN: Excuse me, Mr. Kenney.

Your Honor, do you have a copy of what Mr. Kenney is reading?

JUDGE WILLIAMS: No. I just have the order.

MS. SLOAN: It should be on the order.

MR. MELTZER: Well, let me try to clarify.

BY MR. MELTZER:

Q. The initial authority that you were reviewing, you felt after talking to people at the Commission there was something regarding closure.

By closure, you mean making a complete bordered territory?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. In addition to that, there were streets that either were misspelled or apparently not in existence; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you attempted to close the borders consistent with the territory that existed and also

1 correct the misspelling of streets and to insert the proper name for that cross street --2 Yes. Α. 3 -- that didn't exist in the territory? Q. 4 5 Α. That's correct. 0. And did you consistent with that come up 6 7 with a map that closed the borders showing all of the 8 streets that should be inside that authority? 9 Α. Yes. ο. Now, let me have that and I'll have it 10 marked. 11 12 Do you want to use this map? MR. MELTZER: We'll call this Applicant 1, Your 13 14 Honor. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. 15 BY MR. MELTZER: 16 17 Now, showing you Applicant's 1, which is not the reduced size, that you have in front of you, 18 19 from reviewing the authority in the No. 2 right of 20 authority for Philly Cab or Penn Radio, is this the 21 authority that you believe should be modernized or updated consistent with the streets? 22 Yes, sir. And as I say, I have the correct 23 Α.

O. What names had to be corrected?

spelling of the names.

24

A. Manatanna was corrected and there is a street there that is -- if you can see 19128 on the map.

It's right up under where it says Upper
Roxborough. When you are coming over from Port Royal,
you're coming down, it says Port Royal Avenue, and then
cross street and then Shawmont. In that section there
there was a street that's not named, but in order to get
onto Shawmont Avenue and to get onto Umbria Street,
Umbria is the -- if you see that hook. It looks like a
hook.

- Q. Did you add a name to that street?
- A. No, I didn't. But I'm taking out the word cross street, because it doesn't belong.
 - Q. There was no cross street by name?
 - A. No.
- Q. And did you correct the spelling of what appeared to be Limekiller?
 - A. Yes, that's Limeklin Pike.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Could you give us the correct spelling of that for the record, please?

MR. KENNEY: L-i-m-e-k-l-i-n, Pike.

BY MR. MELTZER:

- Q. Am I correct that under authority 2 it does provide call and demand service? Am I correct?
 - A. Correct. When I do the complete right in

verbiage, the problem with Limeklin Pike is that
Limeklin Pike is not in Springfield Township. Limeklin
Pike is maybe 50 feet outside of Springfield Township,
so that when you speak of the boundary of Springfield
Township, I'm going to have to drop the word Limeklin
Pike.

- Q. Did you prepare an appopriate revised authority to, let's call it, modernize or update the authority?
- A. Yes, which is the same -- the only thing changing from what was here is we're going to drop Limeklin Pike out of the authority and cross street out of the authority and correct the spelling of the word Manatanna, and then stopping -- going down and in said areas to points outside the area and vice versa and strike the next four lines in answer to calls made either in person or by telephone to the Chestnut Hill station of the Reading Company. That's now a Septa Station.
 - Q. So that doesn't exist anymore; correct?
- A. Right. Which is 45 Chestnut Street, and if I might just point out this morning when Mr. Ernst talked about Walsh Cab, that same reference is in Walsh Cab's authority of 45 East Chestnut Hill Avenue.
 - Q. So you've eliminated that provision?

6 1 Α. I've eliminated those four lines from that 2 written authority. So other than what may be required, 3 assuming it's not a medallion authority, it would be the 4 territory that you've described basically call and 5 demand service or hail service in that designated 6 7 territory of operation? Α. Yes. 8 You've had some discussions with a 9 Q. representative of the Commission regarding closing the 10 territory; is that correct? 11 Α. Right. I met with Mr. Bruce Bigelow of the 12 13 Burea, and we went over this map. I'm talking about the 14 large map. 15 Of course, I would like to footnote that he didn't make any official statement. 16 17 0. That would still have to be approved by the Commission? 18 Correct. Α. 19 20 Q. But it's more or less correcting what is already in existence? 21 Α. Correct: 22 23 Q. You mentioned Walsh Cab. Walsh Cab has

been designated as a suburban cab.

Right.

Α.

24

6 1 MS. SLOAN: I have no questions. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Mr. Kenney, were you involved in ID 171? 3 MR. KENNEY: Yes, ma'am. JUDGE WILLIAMS: Do you have anything additional 5 6 to add to what Mr. Ernst said about that investigation? Yes, ma'am. I guess I was the lead 7 MR. KENNEY: person out in the field. I had four of the analysts 8 with me. We went out and we made the studies of the 9 adequacy of the service throughout the City of 10 11 Philadelphia. It was almost a month. We would go into an area, we would make phone calls, we would call Yellow 12 13 Cab, we would call United Cab and we would test how long it took for them to come service us. 14 A lot of my report that I made at the end of our 15 trips was part of the legislation that came down that 16 17 set up Zones A, B and C. 18 JUDGE WILLIAMS: I have nothing further for this 19 witness. Does either counsel? 20 MR. MELTZER: One moment, Your Honor. 21 22 BY MR. MELTZER:

23

24

25

Q. To your knowledge this is the only company that has rights limiting its area in Philadelphia as opposed to medallion cab; is that correct?

gentleman. 1 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Just put him on to indicate who 2 he is. He's been referenced to during the course of the 3 hearing. 4 5 Would you raise your right hand, please? MENACHEN REIBENBACH, called as a witness, having 6 been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 7 JUDGE WILLIAMS: Would you state your name and 9 your business address for the record, please? MR. REIBENBACH: Menachen, M-e-n-a-c-h-e-n, 10 Reibenbach, R-e-i-b-e-n-b-a-c-h, 4800 Germantown 11 12 Philadelphia 19144. DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MELTZER: 14 Mr. Reibenbach, by whom are you employed? 15 Q. Α. Philly Cab Company. 16 What capacity? 17 Q. I'm the manager of Philly Cab. 18 Α. 19 Q. For how long have you been the manager? 20 Three years. Α. 21 Q. How long has it been at 4800 Germantown Avenue? 22 23 Three months. And it owns the building? 24 Q. Philly Cab owns the building, yes. 25 Α.

working with our radio system.

Your Honor, I have one question. MS. SLOAN: 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. SLOAN: 3 You've sat through this entire proceeding. 0. 4 Do you have anything that you want to add to the 5 testimony, anything you disagree with the testimony or 6 do you adopt what you've heard today? 7 I adopt what I've heard, and I think the 8 9 testimony was very good. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MELTZER: 11 12 Q. This should be on the record as far as your opinion is concerned. 13 Right now Philly Cab has an unlimited number of 14 cabs that could be used to operate in Germantown. 15 Currently you have approximately 42 vehicles. 16 Α. Yes. 17 18 0. What the most or what is the highest number 19 that you used to have at one point to serve the 20 Germantown area, nonmedallion cab? We go on 42 cabs and we were working. 21 22 Really we have like over 60 vehicles right now. And if the Commission is limited in the 23 Q. 24 number of medallion cabs to 20 or 25, would you be able

to serve the Germantown area as sufficiently as you are

7

doing now with your 42 or 60 cabs?

- A. No. If it's going to be only 20 medallion.
- Q. You heard Mr. Harrison testify regarding the number of 60.

Is that the number of medallions that you would feel comfortable with in order to render the appropriate service in your area?

A. I think we would be comfortable between 70 up to a hundred.

MR. MELTZER: I have no other questions.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Ms. Sloan.

MS. SLOAN: No.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Thank you, very much.

(Recess.)

JUDGE WILLIAMS: We are back on the record.

After the end of the testimony, the Law Bureau and Mr. Meltzer had discussions and then they had discussions with me regarding the problems of implementing decisions that might be issued.

We have determined that there are some other decisions, for instance, Judge Kranzel's decision that impacts on this case. Therefore, at this point the record will not be closed in case there are other documents that need to be submitted.

We assume that there will be no further testimony

that needs to be held, so therefore we will not request another hearing date at this time. But in case there are other documents that need to be submitted, we will hold the record open for a period of time.

I have indicated to the counsel that I will be on vacation next week and will not be able to address this matter, but will address it when I return, and at that point I will let them know which direction I'm leaning in, so if they need to submit other documents to me, they can do so at that time.

Before we close this hearing, Mr. Meltzer, do you have anything else to say at this time?

MR. MELTZER: No.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: Ms. Sloan?

MS. SLOAN: Actually, Your Honor, I think I do, and that is only that previously in today's hearing we made reference to a case. I think at this point it would only be fair if we would ask you to take official notice of this and supply you with a copy of it. It's Genco Services, Inc. t/a Cheldon Radio Cab Company, Inc. A-00106517C9102, and I will provide you with a copy, but ask that you take notice of that.

JUDGE WILLIAMS: It will be officially noticed.

I thank counsel and all the witnesses who participated today and I thank you for your indulgence.

(Whereupon the hearing concluded.) I hereby certify that the evidence and proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same. MARIE MICKENS Court Reporter HOLBERT ASSOCIATES P.O. Box 6144 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17112-0144 THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.

```
18
             Section 20. The following provisions shall not apply to or
       affect the validity of any contract otherwise within the purview of such provisions entered into by the Pennsylvania Public
       Utility Commission prior to the effective date of this section:

(1) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. § 5505(d) (23).

(2) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. § 5508.1(o).

(2.1) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. § 5508.2.

(3) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. §§ 5510.1 through
22
23
24
25
26
27
             5510.11.
            (4) The reenactment, amendment or addition of 53 Pa.C.S. $\$ 5701, 5701.1, 5702, 5703, 5704, 5705, 5706, 5707, 5711, 5712, 5713, 5714, 5715, 5716, 5717, 5718, 5719, 5720, 5721, 5722, 5723, 5724, 5725, 5741, 5741.1, 5742, 5743, 5744 and 2654B4249 - 72 -
28
29
 30
20040H2654B4249
             5745.
  1
2
3
                             Section 19 of this act.
                             Section 21 of this act.
                     (6)
       (7) Section 22 of this act.
(8) Section 24 of this act.
Section 21. The following provisions do not affect any act done, liability incurred or right accrued or vested or affect
  6
       any civil or criminal proceeding pending or to be commenced to
  8
       enforce any right or penalty or punish any offense under any
       provision of law repealed by section 4 of this act:
(1) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. § 5508.1(o)
(2) The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. § 5508.2.
11
12
                    (3)
                             The reenactment of 53 Pa.C.S. §§ 5510.1 through
13
14
            5510.11.
            (4) The reenactment, amendment or addition of 53 Pa.C.S. $$ 5701, 5701.1, 5702, 5703, 5704, 5705, 5706, 5707, 5711, 5712, 5713, 5714, 5715, 5716, 5717, 5718, 5719, 5720, 5721, 5722, 5723, 5724, 5725, 5741, 5741.1, 5742, 5743, 5744 and
15
16
17
18
19
            (5) The provisions of 66 Pa.C.S. §§ 510(b)(5) and 1103(c) and Ch.24.
20
21
22
                            Section 20 of this act.
Section 22 of this act.
Section 24 of this act.
                    (6)
23
24
                    (7)
                              The following shall apply:
The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's
The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's
25
26
           Section 22
            appropriations, allocations, documents, records, equipment, materials, powers, duties, contracts, rights and obligations which are utilized or accrue in connection with the functions under 66 Pa.C.S. Ch. 24 and in connection with limousine

2654B4249

- 73 -
28
29
30
20040H2654B4249
            regulation in cities of the first class shall be transferred
            to the Philadelphia Parking Authority in accordance with an
 3
            agreement between the commission and the authority.
            (2) Regulations, orders, programs and policies of the commission under 66 Pa.C.S. Ch. 24 and concerning limousine service regulation within cities of the first class shall remain in effect until specifically amended, rescinded or altered by the authority.
 67
            altered by the authority.

(3) The State Treasurer shall coordinate with the
 8
10
            authority and transfer the First Class City Taxicab
            Regulatory Fund to the authority. Upon transfer, fiduciary responsibility over the fund shall pass from the State Treasurer to the authority.
11
13
            (4) The commission shall assist the authority to prepare for the transfer and to ensure a smooth transition with as
14
15
            little disruption as possible to public safety, consumer
16
17
            convenience and the impacted industries. The commission and
            the authority are empowered to resolve by mutual agreement
            any jurisdictional issues that may be associated with the
            transfer. Any agreement shall be reported to the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of Representatives and will be
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
            considered effective unless either the Senate or the House of
            Representatives rejects the submitted agreement by resolution
            within ten legislative days of submission. Upon becoming
            effective, an agreement shall be published in the
            Pennsylvania Bulletin.
           (4.1) Any revenues generated by a taxicab or limousine while operating under the jurisdiction of the authority shall be exempt from assessment by the commission. The provisions 2654B4249 - 74 -
28
20040H2654B4249
            of this paragraph shall have no effect on the fees allowed to
            be charged by the authority in accordance with the provisions
            of section 5707.
                    (5) As soon as is practical but no later than 60 days
```

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

SENATE BILL

No. 851

Session of 1989

INTRODUCED BY SALVATORE, FUMO, TILGHMAN, ROCKS, FATTAH, WILLIAMS AND JONES, APRIL 25, 1989

AS AMENDED ON THIRD CONSIDERATION, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, MARCH 13, 1990

AN ACT

- 1 Amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania
- 2 Consolidated Statutes, providing for the regulation of
- 3 taxicabs in first class cities.
- 4 The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
- 5 hereby enacts as follows:
- 6 Section 1. Sections 510(b) and 1103(c) of Title 66 of the
- 7 Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes are amended to read:
- 8 § 510. Assessment for regulatory expenses upon public
- 9 utilities.
- 10 * * *
- 11 (b) Allocation of assessment. -- On or before March 31 of each
- 12 year, every public utility shall file with the commission a
- 13 statement under oath showing its gross intrastate operating
- 14 revenues for the preceding calendar year. If any public utility
- 15 shall fail to file such statement on or before March 31, the
- 16 commission shall estimate such revenues, which estimate shall be
- 17 binding upon the public utility for the purposes of this

- 1 section. For each fiscal year, the allocation shall be made as 2 follows:
- 3 (1) The commission shall determine for the preceding
- 4 calendar year the amount of its expenditures directly
- 5 attributable to the regulation of each group of utilities
- furnishing the same kind of service, and debit the amount so
- 7 determined to such group.
- 8 (2) The commission shall also determine for the
- 9 preceding calendar year the balance of its expenditures, not
- debited as aforesaid, and allocate such balance to each group
- in the proportion which the gross intrastate operating
- 12 revenues of such group for that year bear to the gross
- intrastate operating revenues of all groups for that year.
- 14 (3) The commission shall then allocate the total
- assessment prescribed by subsection (a) to each group in the
- proportion which the sum of the debits made to it bears to
- the sum of the debits made to all groups.
- 18 (4) Each public utility within a group shall then be
- 19 assessed for and shall pay to the commission such proportion
- 20 of the amount allocated to its group as the gross intrastate
- 21 operating revenues of the public utility for the preceding
- 22 calendar year bear to the total gross intrastate operating
- 23 revenues of its group for that year.
- 24 (5) The assessment provided for in this section shall
- 25 not be made against utilities governed by the provisions of
- Chapter 24 (relating to taxicabs in first class cities).
- 27 * * *
- 28 § 1103. Procedure to obtain certificates of public convenience.
- 29 * * *
- 30 (c) Taxicabs.--

- 1 (1) A certificate of public convenience to provide
 2 taxicab service within cities of the first class shall be
 3 granted by order of the commission without proof of the need
 4 for the service if the commission finds or determines that
 5 the applicant is capable of providing dependable taxicab
 6 service to the public according to the rules and regulations
- 8 (2) The commission is authorized to issue a maximum of [1,400] 1,600 certificates of public convenience for taxi 10 service in any city of the first class upon the effective 11 date of this [subsection. Eighteen months after the effective 12 date of this subsection, the commission is authorized to issue in its discretion a maximum of 1,700 certificates of 13 14 public convenience for taxi service in any city of the first class. Thirty months after the effective date of this 15 subsection, the commission is authorized to issue in its 16 17 discretion a maximum of 2,000 certificates of public convenience for taxi service in any city of the first class] 18 amendatory act. Upon the second year of the effective date of 19 20 this [subsection] amendatory act, the commission shall 21 institute a formal investigation on the future need for taxi service in any city of the first class. The commission shall 22 report to the Senate and House Consumer Affairs Committees 23 its findings, conclusions and recommendations. 24
 - (3) It is hereby declared to be the policy of the General Assembly to regulate the provision of taxicab service within cities of the first class in such a manner that any certificate of public convenience hereinafter granted by order of the commission shall, in addition to any other conditions imposed by the commission, require that at least

26

27

28

29

30

7

of the commission.

- 1 40% of such trips of such taxicab service shall be derived
- from such service provided to and from points within specific
- 3 geographical areas to be determined by the commission as
- 4 being in the public interest. The commission shall have the
- 5 power to rescind or revoke any certificate of public
- 6 convenience granted to any existing holder or any new
- 7 recipient for the operation of taxicabs within a city of the
- 8 first class whenever it is shown that the holder of the
- 9 certificate is not operating the taxicabs on an average of
- 10 50% of the time over any consecutive three-month period.
- 11 (4) The commission shall have the authority to grant
- immediate temporary certificates of public convenience for
- 13 taxicab service in cities of the first class. Such temporary
- 14 certificates are subject to further investigation before a
- 15 permanent certificate shall be granted by the commission.
- 16 [(5) In cities of the first class, all operators shall
- 17 utilize the services of a centralized dispatch system
- 18 utilizing radio. Any existing holder or any new recipient of
- 19 a certificate of public convenience for the operation of
- 20 taxicabs in cities of the first class which owns or operates
- 21 a centralized radio dispatch system shall make such system
- 22 available to all other taxicab operations for a reasonable
- fee as described in a tariff to be filed with the commission
- for its review and approval but with no obligation to use any
- 25 specific radio system. It is mandatory, however, that every
- 26 taxi operated in the city be linked to a central radio
- 27 service.]
 - 28 (6) A minimum of 5% of all certificates of public
 - 29 convenience issued under this subsection in cities of the
- 30 first class shall be issued to minority persons or to

- 1 corporations in which 51% or more of the voting shares or
- 2 interest in the corporation is held by minority individuals.
- 3 For purposes of this paragraph, "minority" shall describe one
- 4 who is Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic, American Indian,
- 5 Eskimo, Aleut or Oriental.
- 6 (7) The transfer of a certificate of public convenience,
- by any means or device, shall be subject to the prior
- 8 approval of the commission which may, in its sole or peculiar
- 9 discretion as it deems appropriate, attach such conditions,
- 10 including the appropriate allocation of proceeds, as it may
- 11 find to be necessary or proper.
- 12 [(8) In cities of the first class, any new holder of a
- 13 certificate of public convenience for the operation of a
- 14 taxicab shall, prior to the initial operation of such
- 15 taxicab, have such taxicab inspected under the supervision of
- 16 a State Police Officer at the expense of the holder of such
- 17 certificate. The holder of said certificate shall make
- 18 payment of such expenses at the time of the inspection with a
- 19 certified check payable to the Pennsylvania Department of
- 20 Transportation.]
- 21 * * *
- 22 Section 2. Title 66 is amended by adding a chapter to read:
- CHAPTER 24
- 24 TAXICABS IN FIRST CLASS CITIES
- 25 Sec.
- 26 2401. Definitions.
- 27 2402. Medallion system created.
- 28 2403. Property and licensing rights.
- 29 2404. Certificate and medallion required.
- 30 2405. Contested complaints.

- 1 2406. Reissuance of medallion.
- 2 2407. Additional certificates and medallions.
- 3 2408. Restrictions.
- 4 2409. Driver licensing CERTIFICATION program.
- 5 2410. Wages.
- 6 2411. Centralized dispatcher.
- 7 2412. Regulations.
- 8 2413. Enforcement.
- 9 2414. Budgets and fees.
- 10 2415. Penalties.
- 11 2416. Applicability.
- 12 § 2401. Definitions.
- 13 The following words and phrases when used in this chapter
- 14 shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the
- 15 context clearly indicates otherwise:
- 16 "First Class City Taxicab Regulatory Fund" or "Fund." A fund
- 17 administered by the commission to which all moneys collected
- 18 pursuant to the requirements of this chapter shall be deposited
- 19 and from which all expenses and costs associated with
- 20 administration and enforcement of this chapter shall be paid.
- 21 Moneys deposited in the fund shall not be used for any purpose
- 22 not specified herein.
- 23 "Taxicab." A motor vehicle designed for carrying no more
- 24 than eight passengers, exclusive of the driver, on a call or
- 25 demand basis and used for the transportation of persons for
- 26 compensation.
- 27 "Taxi driver's license." A license CERTIFICATE." A
- 28 CERTIFICATE or permit to drive a taxicab issued pursuant to
- 29 section 2409 (relating to driver licensing CERTIFICATION
- 30 program).

- 1 § 2402. Medallion system created.
- 2 There is hereby created a medallion system in cities of the
- 3 first class in order to provide holders of certificates of
- 4 public convenience which authorize citywide call or demand
- 5 service the opportunity to upgrade and improve the operations of
- 6 taxicabs. Each current holder of a certificate of public
- 7 convenience which authorizes citywide call or demand service in
- 8 cities of the first class is entitled to apply to obtain a
- 9 medallion from the commission at its offices within 90 days of
- 10 the effective date of this chapter for an initial fee in an
- 11 amount to be determined pursuant to the requirements of section
- 12 2414 (relating to budgets and fees). In the case of a corporate
- 13 certificate holder, a medallion shall be issued in the name of
- 14 the corporation to its corporate president. The medallion shall
- 15 be marked with the taxicab number assigned to the corresponding
- 16 certificate of public convenience.
- 17 § 2403. Property and licensing rights.
- 18 (a) Property rights.--Medallions are property and may not be
- 19 revoked or canceled by the commission. Medallions may be pledged
- 20 to lenders or creditors as security on debt. All lenders or
- 21 creditors who accept a medallion as security shall file with the
- 22 commission a notice of lien which describes the loan
- 23 transaction. A lien on a medallion is void by operation of law
- 24 unless a notice of lien is filed with the commission. The
- 25 commission may not permit a sale of a medallion if a notice of
- 26 lien has been filed on the medallion until the lien is removed
- 27 or unless the commission is notified by the lienholder that the
- 28 lien will be satisfied from the proceeds of the sale. If a
- 29 lender or creditor executes on or seizes a medallion, it shall
- 30 immediately notify the commission, in writing. Any sale of the

- 1 medallion, upon seizure or execution, shall occur at commission
- 2 offices pursuant to the requirements of section 2408 (relating
- 3 to restrictions) within one year of the seizure or execution. If
- 4 the medallion is not sold within one year, the medallion will
- 5 become nontransferable, and possession must be surrendered to
- 6 the commission.
- 7 (b) Licensing rights. -- A certificate of public convenience
- 8 is a licensing right which accompanies each medallion and
- 9 authorizes the operation of one taxicab in cities of the first
- 10 class. No property interest shall exist in the certificate
- 11 itself. A certificate may not be pledged to lenders or creditors
- 12 as security on debt. A certificate may be canceled by the
- 13 commission, upon due cause shown, for violation of this title or
- 14 commission regulations. If the commission cancels a certificate,
- 15 the certificate holder shall have the right to sell the
- 16 accompanying medallion within six months of the date of
- 17 cancellation and the certificate holder must turn the medallion
- 18 over to the commission office within five days of cancellation
- 19 of the certificate for safekeeping until the medallion is sold.
- 20 This six-month time period shall be extended during the pendency
- 21 of a petition for reinstatement of the certificate of public
- 22 convenience. If the medallion is not sold within the statutory
- 23 period, the medallion will become nontransferable, and
- 24 possession must be surrendered to the commission.
- 25 § 2404. Certificate and medallion required.
- 26 (a) Procedure. -- A vehicle may not be operated as a taxicab
- 27 in cities of the first class unless a certificate of public
- 28 convenience is issued, authorizing the operation of the taxicab,
- 29 and a medallion is attached to the hood of the vehicle. Prior to
- 30 the issuance of a medallion, the certificate holder shall have

- 1 its vehicle inspected by the commission. The commission shall
- 2 require, by order or regulation, that each medallion holder
- 3 submit to a periodic vehicle inspection of its taxicab by
- 4 commission personnel to ensure that the vehicle meets the
- 5 requirements of this title and commission regulations.
- 6 Commission inspection requirements shall be in addition to the
- 7 vehicle requirements set forth in Title 75 (relating to
- 8 vehicles). Commission inspection and recording requirements
- 9 shall be established by regulations. No vehicle which is more
- 10 than five SIX years old shall continue in operation as a
- 11 taxicab. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the commission may
- 12 authorize the operation of antique vehicles in call or demand
- 13 service in such circumstances as the commission may deem
- 14 appropriate. Each medallion holder's tariff rates shall be
- 15 clearly and visibly displayed in each taxicab. A medallion shall
- 16 not be removed from a vehicle without prior notification to and
- 17 permission of the commission. A medallion authorizes operation
- 18 of a vehicle as a taxicab only for the fiscal year for which the
- 19 medallion is issued.
- 20 (b) Protective barrier.--Each taxicab in cities of the first
- 21 class shall be equipped with a protective barrier for the
- 22 protection of the driver, separating the front seat from the
- 23 back seat. The commission may provide for additional driver
- 24 protection measures by order or regulation.
- 25 (c) Service. -- A vehicle authorized by a certificate to
- 26 provide call or demand service in cities of the first class may
- 27 transport persons and their baggage upon call or demand and
- 28 parcels, packages and property at the same basic metered rates
- 29 charged to passengers:
- 30 (1) between points in the city of the first class for

,

- which its certificate is issued;
- 2 (2) from any point in the city of the first class for
- 3 which its certificate is issued to any point in this
- 4 Commonwealth:
- 5 (3) from any point in this Commonwealth to any point in
- 6 the city of the first class for which its certificate is
- 7 issued, if the request for service for such transportation is
- 8 received by call to its radio dispatch service; and
- 9 (4) from any point in the city of the first class for
- 10 which its certificate is issued to any point outside this
- 11 Commonwealth as a continuous part of a trip.
- 12 (d) Other vehicles.--A vehicle which is not authorized by a
- 13 certificate to provide call or demand service in cities of the
- 14 first class, but which is operated by the holder of a
- 15 certificate of public convenience from the commission
- 16 authorizing call or demand service elsewhere in this
- 17 Commonwealth, may transport persons and property:
- 18 (1) to cities of the first class in accordance with the
- 19 service authorized under its certificate of public
- 20 convenience; and
- 21 (2) from any point in a city of the first class to any
- 22 point in this Commonwealth beyond that city of the first
- 23 class if the request for service for such transportation is
- 24 received by call to its radio dispatch service.
- 25 (e) Penalties involving certificated taxicabs.--Operating a
- 26 certificated taxicab in violation of subsections (a) and (b), or
- 27 authorizing or permitting such operation, is a nontraffic
- 28 summary offense. Offenders of subsections (a) and (b) may also
- 29 be subject to civil penalties pursuant to section 3301 (relating
- 30 to civil penalties for violations).

- 1 (f) Unauthorized vehicles.--Operating an unauthorized
- 2 vehicle as a taxicab, or giving the appearance of offering call
- 3 or demand service with an unauthorized vehicle, without first
- 4 having received a certificate of public convenience and a
- 5 medallion is a nontraffic summary offense in the first instance
- 6 and a misdemeanor of the third degree for each offense
- 7 thereafter. The owner and the driver of a vehicle being operated
- 8 as or appearing as a taxicab without a certificate of public
- 9 convenience and a medallion are also subject to civil penalties
- 10 pursuant to section 3301. Civil penalties which have been
- 11 assessed and collected shall be deposited in the fund.
- 12 (g) Confiscation and impoundment of vehicles. -- In addition
- 13 to penalties provided for in subsection (f), police officers in
- 14 cities of the first class are empowered to confiscate and
- 15 impound vehicles and equipment utilized to provide call or
- 16 demand service without a certificate of public convenience and a
- 17 medallion. Upon satisfaction of all penalties imposed and all
- 18 outstanding fines assessed against the owner or operator of the
- 19 unauthorized vehicle and payment of the city's costs associated
- 20 with confiscation and impoundment, the vehicle and equipment
- 21 shall be returned to its owner. Failure to timely satisfy these
- 22 conditions within 90 days of impoundment may result in the sale
- 23 of confiscated property by a city of the first class at auction.
- 24 Proceeds received from the sale of confiscated property, after
- 25 payment of the city's costs associated with confiscation, shall
- 26 be deposited into the fund.
- 27 (h) Counterfeit medallions. -- The manufacture or possession
- 28 of a counterfeit medallion is a misdemeanor of the third degree
- 29 for each offense.
- 30 § 2405. Contested complaints.

- 1 (a) Adjudication. -- Contested complaints brought before the
- 2 commission, alleging violations of this chapter or rules and
- 3 regulations promulgated by the commission pursuant to this
- 4 chapter, shall be assigned by the commission to a presiding
- 5 officer who shall be a special agent or administrative law judge
- 6 for adjudication. Presiding officers assigned to cases pursuant
- 7 to this chapter may be removed by the commission only for good
- 8 cause shown. Following the taking and receiving of evidence, the
- 9 presiding officer shall issue a decision which determines the
- 10 merits of the complaint and assesses a penalty, if warranted. In
- 11 extraordinary circumstances, the presiding officer may require
- 12 the filing of briefs prior to issuing a decision. The presiding
- 13 officer's decision shall not be subject to exception or
- 14 administrative appeal. In its discretion, the commission may
- 15 exercise review of a presiding officer's decision within 15 days
- 16 of the date of issuance. If the commission does not exercise its
- 17 authority to review a presiding officer's decision, the decision
- 18 will become a final order without further commission action. The
- 19 commission may establish orders or regulations which designate
- 20 rules and procedures for the adjudication of complaints brought
- 21 pursuant to this chapter.
- 22 (b) Commencement of complaints. -- Commission enforcement
- 23 officers and police officers or licensing officials in cities of
- 24 the first class may commence and prosecute complaints brought
- 25 before the commission pursuant to this chapter and provisions of
- 26 this title and commission regulations applicable to taxicab
- 27 operations in cities of the first class.
- 28 (c) Other penalties. -- Nothing in this section shall be
- 29 deemed to limit the ability of any city of the first class to
- 30 prosecute violations and seek criminal penalties in a court of

- 1 law.
- 2 § 2406. Reissuance of medallion.
- 3 Within 30 days of the close of each fiscal year, a medallion
- 4 holder shall apply to obtain from the commission a reissued
- 5 medallion for a fee in an amount to be determined pursuant to
- 6 the requirements of section 2414 (relating to budgets and fees).
- 7 Each year's medallion shall designate the year of issuance and
- 8 shall be identifiable by a distinctive tint or color and shape,
- 9 to be determined by the commission. A medallion may not be
- 10 issued by the commission unless all outstanding commission
- 11 fines, penalties and fees have been paid in full and unless all
- 12 insurance, tariff and vehicle inspection filings are current.
- 13 Immediately prior to reissuance of a medallion, a medallion
- 14 holder shall remove the prior year's medallion from the hood of
- 15 its taxicab and surrender it to the commission. Upon reissuance,
- 16 the new medallion shall be immediately attached to the vehicle.
- 17 The annual fee paid under this section shall be in lieu of the
- 18 assessment set forth in section 510 (relating to assessment for
- 19 regulatory expenses upon public utilities).
- 20 § 2407. Additional certificates and medallions.
- 21 Subject to the limits established in section 1103(c)
- 22 (relating to procedure to obtain certificates of public
- 23 convenience), the commission may increase the number of
- 24 certificates and medallions if it finds a need for additional
- 25 taxicab service in cities of the first class by issuing
- 26 certificates and corresponding medallions to applicants on a
- 27 first-come-first-served basis. Each applicant shall pay a fee in
- 28 an amount equal to the reasonable market value of the medallions
- 29 at the time of issuance as determined by the commission. The fee
- 30 is payable prior to the time of issuance. In determining the

- 1 reasonable market value of a medallion, the commission shall
- 2 consider the purchase price in medallion transactions over the
- 3 prior year as reflected in commission records. The Commission in
- 4 its discretion may hold hearings to determine the reasonable
- 5 market value of a medallion. In no case shall the number of
- 6 certificates and medallions issued by the commission exceed
- 7 1,600 each.
- 8 § 2408. Restrictions.
- 9 (a) Place of transaction. -- A medallion may not be sold or
- 10 transferred to another party unless the closing of the sales
- 11 transaction occurs at commission offices in the presence of a
- 12 designated commission staff member. The commission staff member
- 13 shall witness the execution of each contract of sale to evidence
- 14 staff presence at the execution. All contracts for the sale of
- 15 medallions which are not executed at commission offices and
- 16 witnessed by a commission staff member are void by operation of
- 17 law. All sales contracts shall conform to such rules and
- 18 regulations as the commission may prescribe. Prior to each
- 19 closing, the buyer of the medallion shall pay a fee in an amount
- 20 to be determined pursuant to the requirements of section 2414
- 21 (relating to budget and fees).
- 22 (b) Issuance of certificate. -- Upon the witnessing of a sale
- 23 of a medallion and upon application of the purchaser and
- 24 compliance with commission tariff, insurance and inspection
- 25 requirements, the commission staff shall issue an accompanying
- 26 certificate to the new medallion holder unless the commission
- 27 determines that the transfer of the certificate is inconsistent
- 28 with the public interest. Where there is a determination that a
- 29 transfer is not in the public interest, the new medallion holder
- 30 shall have six months from the date the adverse determination is

- 1 entered to sell the medallion to a new owner. If a sale is not
- 2 consummated before commission personnel within six months, the
- 3 medallion will become nontransferable and possession must be
- 4 surrendered to the commission.
- 5 (c) Criminal records. -- No person or corporation may purchase
- 6 a medallion or apply for a certificate if the person or
- 7 corporation or an officer or director of the corporation has
- 8 been convicted or found quilty of a felony within the five-year
- 9 period immediately preceding the transfer. All applications for
- 10 a certificate shall contain a sworn affidavit certifying that
- 11 the purchaser has not been convicted of a felony in the previous
- 12 five years. If, at any time, the commission finds that a
- 13 medallion holder has been convicted of a felony while holding
- 14 the medallion or during the five years immediately preceding its
- 15 purchase, the commission shall cancel the corresponding
- 16 certificate.
- 17 § 2409. Driver licensing CERTIFICATION program.
- 18 (a) General rule. -- The commission shall provide for the
- 19 establishment of a driver licensing CERTIFICATION program for
- 20 drivers of taxicabs in cities of the first class. Standards for
- 21 fitness of taxi drivers shall be established under such rules
- 22 and regulations as the commission may prescribe. The commission
- 23 may revoke or suspend a taxi driver's license CERTIFICATE upon a <-

<----

- 24 finding that the individual is not fit to operate a taxicab.
- 25 Each applicant for a taxi driver's license CERTIFICATE shall pay <---
- 26 a fee in an amount to be determined pursuant to the requirements
- 27 of section 2414 (relating to budget and fees). Upon approval, a
- 28 picture taxi driver's license CERTIFICATE will be issued to an
- 29 applicant. No individual shall operate a taxicab at any time
- 30 unless the individual is licensed CERTIFIED as a taxi driver by <--

- 1 the commission. Each licensed CERTIFIED taxi driver shall carry
- 2 and display in full view a taxi driver's license CERTIFICATE at <-
- 3 all times of operation of a taxicab. The commission may
- 4 establish orders or regulations which designate additional
- 5 requirements governing the licensing CERTIFICATION of drivers <---
- 6 and the operation of taxicabs by drivers, including, but not
- 7 limited to, dress codes for drivers.
- 8 (b) Violations.--Operating a taxicab without a taxi driver's
- 9 license CERTIFICATE or authorizing or permitting the operation
- 10 of a taxicab by a driver who is not licensed CERTIFIED as a taxi <-
- 11 driver in cities of the first class is a nontraffic summary
- 12 offense in the first instance and a misdemeanor of the third
- 13 degree for each offense thereafter. The commission may by
- 14 regulation provide for suspension and revocation of taxi
- 15 driver's licenses DRIVERS' CERTIFICATES for violations of this
- 16 chapter and commission regulations.
- 17 (c) Agreements delegating responsibilities. -- The commission
- 18 is hereby authorized to enter into agreements or contracts
- 19 delegating the duties and responsibilities designated in
- 20 subsection (a) to a different governmental entity or to another
- 21 party.
- 22 § 2410. Wages.
- 23 (a) Minimum wage.--Each medallion holder shall pay at least
- 24 a prevailing minimum wage rate or, in the alternative, charge at
- 25 most a prevailing maximum lease amount to the drivers of its
- 26 taxicab, as determined by the commission upon investigation. The
- 27 minimum wage rate and the maximum lease amount, as established
- 28 by the commission, may include employee benefits.
- 29 (b) Uniform rates.--All taxicabs in cities of the first
- 30 class shall charge a uniform rate to passengers, as determined

- 1 by the commission upon investigation.
- 2 (c) Reopen investigations. -- Any medallion holder or licensed
- 3 driver may petition the commission to reopen the investigations
- 4 addressed by subsections (a) and (b) no less than 18 months
- 5 after the close of the preceding investigation.
- 6 § 2411. Centralized dispatcher.
- 7 In cities of the first class, all medallion holders shall
- 8 utilize the services of a centralized dispatch radio system. Any
- 9 owner of a centralized radio dispatch system shall make such
- 10 system available to all medallion holders for a reasonable fee,
- 11 as described in a rate schedule to be filed with the commission.
- 12 The commission, in its discretion, may review the rate schedules
- 13 of radio associations to determine if rates charged discriminate
- 14 against new applicants. Medallion holders shall have no
- 15 obligation to use any particular radio system.
- 16 § 2412. Regulations.
- 17 The commission may prescribe such rules and regulations as it
- 18 deems necessary to govern the regulation of taxicabs in cities
- 19 of the first class pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.
- 20 § 2413. Enforcement.
- 21 The provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations
- 22 promulgated by the commission pursuant to this act shall be
- 23 enforced by commission personnel in conjunction with the police
- 24 department in each first class city to the degree funding is
- 25 provided. The commission shall enter into contracts with each
- 26 first class city or its police department to provide for
- 27 continuous enforcement of this chapter. The number, experience
- 28 and ranks of personnel, and the level of operating and fixed
- 29 asset funding, necessary to provide for full enforcement of this
- 30 chapter shall be established annually by the commission prior to

- 1 submitting a budget and proposed fee schedule. SUCH CONTRACTS
- 2 SHALL INCLUDE SPECIFIC DELINEATION OF PERSONNEL, INCLUDING
- 3 SUPERVISORY, FIELD, SUPPORT AND LEGAL PERSONNEL, AND OTHER
- 4 RESOURCES TO BE SUPPLIED BY THE FIRST CLASS CITY AND A LISTING
- 5 OF ACTIVITIES WHICH SHALL BE ADDRESSED. ANNUALLY, PRIOR TO THE
- 6 COMMISSION SUBMITTING A BUDGET AND PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE AND
- 7 BASED UPON DISCUSSIONS AND NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE POLICE
- 8 DEPARTMENTS OF EACH FIRST CLASS CITY, THE COMMISSION SHALL
- 9 DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF POLICE (FIELD) OFFICERS DESIRED FOR
- 10 ENFORCEMENT. BASED ON THIS DETERMINATION, EACH FIRST CLASS CITY
- 11 SHALL SUBMIT TO THE COMMISSION, FOR ITS CONSIDERATION WITHIN 30
- 12 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF SUCH DETERMINATION, A BUDGET WHICH LISTS THE
- 13 NUMBER, EXPERIENCE AND RANKS OF PERSONNEL, INCLUDING
- 14 SUPERVISORY, FIELD, SUPPORT AND LEGAL PERSONNEL, AND THE LEVEL
- 15 OF OPERATING AND FIXED ASSET FUNDING. The commission shall
- 16 provide for full funding of the city enforcement activities, as
- 17 established AGREED UPON by the commission AND A FIRST CLASS
- 18 CITY, from the fund.
- 19 § 2414. Budget and fees.
- 20 (a) Initial budget and fees.--After execution of a contract
- 21 between the commission and a first class city or its police
- 22 department pursuant to section 2413 (relating to enforcement),
- 23 the commission shall complete an initial budget and fee
- 24 schedule. The fee schedule shall identify the initial fees for
- 25 initial issuance of a medallion, transfer of a medallion and
- 26 issuance of a taxi driver's license. The commission's initial
- 27 budget and fee schedule shall be submitted to the House Consumer
- 28 Affairs Committee and the Senate Consumer Protection and
- 29 Professional Licensure Committee. Unless either the Senate or
- 30 the House of Representatives acts to disapprove through adoption

- 1 of a resolution within ten legislative days from the date of
- 2 submittal, the commission's fee schedule shall become effective
- 3 and the commission shall notify each medallion holder by
- 4 certified letter of the initial fee schedule.
- 5 (b) Fiscal year budget and fees. -- The fiscal year for the
- 6 fund shall commence on July 1 of each year. Prior to the close
- 7 of each fiscal year, the commission shall submit a budget and MaDALLONS
- 8 proposed fee schedule for the coming fiscal year along with
- 9 comprehensive financial data from the past fiscal year to the
- 10 House Consumer Affairs Committee and the Senate Consumer
- 11 Protection and Professional Licensure Committee. Unless either
- 12 the Senate or the House of Representatives acts to disapprove
- 13 through adoption of a resolution within ten legislative days
- 14 from the submission, the commission fee schedule shall become
- 15 effective. The commission shall notify all medallion holders of
- 16 the fee schedule for the coming fiscal year by certified letter.
- 17 § 2415. Penalties.
- 18 For the purpose of this chapter, any person or corporation
- 19 convicted of:
- 20 (1) a summary offense shall be sentenced to pay a fine
- of \$500 and may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment not to
- 22 exceed 90 days, or both; or
- 23 (2) a misdemeanor shall be sentenced to pay a fine of
- 24 \$2,500 and may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment not to
- 25 exceed one year, or both.
- 26 § 2416. Applicability.
- Where other provisions of this title or other laws of this
- 28 Commonwealth are in conflict with the provisions of this
- 29 chapter, the provisions of this chapter shall govern taxicabs in
- 30 cities of the first class and other conflicting provisions are

Achmystas TO GOTHR

Budget there PROCESS

- 1 hereby suspended insofar as they govern taxicabs in cities of
- 2 the first class.
- 3 Section 3. This act does not affect any act done, liability
- 4 incurred or right accrued or vested or affect any civil or
- 5 criminal proceeding pending or to be commenced to enforce any
- 6 right or penalty or punish any offense under any statute or part
- 7 of a statute suspended by this act.
- 8 Section 4. This act shall take effect as follows:
- 9 (1) The provisions of section 2404(a) that prohibit the
- operation of taxicabs more than five SIX years old shall take <---
- 11 effect in one year.
- 12 (2) The remainder of this act shall take effect in 90
- days.